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## (With Plates II and III.)

More than ten years have elapsed since the last number of these ' Contributions' was published.* The time that I have been able to devote to Zoology in the interim has been occupied with other subjects, and several forms of Indian land-shells that have been in my possession for years have remained undescribed. Of a number of these, I had drawings made some years ago, and several of the figures that accompany the present paper were included in a plate prepared for publication as long since as 1871, but never lithographed.

These ten years have seen so many additions to the literature of Indian land and freshwater shells that the whole aspect of the study has been changed. Foremost in importance are the late Dr. Stoliczka's papers in this Journalt on the anatomy of several forms of Ifelicide. The untimely death of Dr. Stoliczka, one of the most able and energetic workers who ever devoted his attention to Indian Mollusca. has prevented the design he had formed of publishing a monograph of Indian Cyclostomacea

* J. A. S. B., 1870, xxxix, pt. 2, pp. 9-25.
† Vol. xl, 1871, pt. 2, pp. 143, 217, and xlii, 1873, pt. 2, p. 11.
from being carried out. A considerable number of drawings had been made for the work, in the preparation of which I had agreed to join, but of these drawings the most important, those representing the anatomy of the various genera, are not, I fear, sufficiently clear for publication in their present form, and notes to explain them are wanting. Some of the most useful of Dr. Stoliczlia's anatomical studies, those on the structure of various Helicidce, have, however, I am much pleased to say, been continued by Colonel Godwin-Austen with important results.

The same decade has seen the completion of a series of illustrations, many of them well executed, of Indian land and freshwater shells, the 'Conchologia Indica' of Hanley and Theobald. The work is mainly due to Mr. Hanley, upon whom the whole of the editorial labour has fallen, Mr. Theobald having been absent in India during the publication. Whilst it is impossible to avoid regretting that more complete illustrations of most of the species have not been given, and that some additional details have not boen furnished in the accompanying letterpress, , it is unquestionable that the plates are a valuable contribution to the knowledge of Indian Mollusca.

Two other rather important works on Indian land and freshwater shells have been issued since the completion of the 'Conchologia Indica.' One of these is Mr. Theobald's 'Catalogue of the Land and Freshwater Shells of British India't, the other, Mr. G. Nevill's 'Hand-list of the Mollusca in the Indian Muscum, Calcutta', Part I. $\ddagger$ The value and accuracy of the first-named work are unfortunately seriously diminished by the great number of misprints, errors, and omissions, partly due to the author's absence from Caleutta when the list was printed. Five quarto pages in small print are filled with additions and corrections ; this list, bowever, is not only far from being exhaustive, but contains some additions to the catalogue of mistakes.§ The 'Notes on the 'Conchologia Indica,' ' p. 50, contain some important corrections of localities cited in that work.

* One most important omission might yet perhaps be rectified. A large number of the figures are from types, or from typical examples, and, in such cases, if the figure is correct, there can be no question as to the determination of the species. But many of the figures are from shclls that, although doubtless in general correctly identified, are not the specimens originally described, nor eren in all cases from the same locality. A list of the figures taken from actual types would be useful in cases of disputed identity.
$\dagger$ Calcutta, 1876, published by Thacker, Spink and Co.
$\ddagger$ Calcutta, 1878.
§ To justify my criticism of my friend Mr. Theobald's 'Catalogue', I will give two instances of the crrors it contains. At p. 15, the genus Omphalotropis (with two species O. distermina, B. and O. aurantiaca, Desh., is placed in the family Rissoidae, subfamily Pomatiopsinae. At p. 43, the same genus Omphalotropis (with but one species $O$. disterminu, B.) is repeated as a member of the family Helicinide, sulfamily $L_{\text {y }}$ droceninue.

Mr. Nevill's 'Hand-list of the Mollusca in the Indian Museum' is especially important for the large number of localities given. In some few instances (as in all such lists), some names will be found to require revision, and one or two instances will be given in the present paper. I have already* expressed my reasons for dissenting in some respects from the classification adopted. But it would be unfair to convey the impression that mistakes are numerous, indeed, considering that $M r$. Nevill had not the advantage of correcting the proof-sheets himself, errors, so far as I have examined the work critically, appear singularly few in number, and in many points the classification adopted for the Helicila of India is a considerable improvement on anything that had previously been published. At the same time, there is, I believe, very much more to be done before these puzzling shells are properly arranged. $\dagger$

In the various works just mentioned, some species are quoted by names given by me, at various times, in manuscript, but never published. Of these forms I have given descriptions in the following pages. In several instances, the shells have been figured in the 'Conchologia Indica.' One form thus figured (Spiraculum mastersi), I have already deseribed in this Journal (vol. xlvi, 1877, pt. 2, p. 313), and two other species (Cremnoconchus fairbanki and Corbicula iravadica) represented in the same work require explanation. To facilitate reference, this is given below under the name of each shell.

This mistake is not corrected in the long list of 'Addenda et Corrigenda.' To shew how grave the error is, it is only necessary to mention that the Rissoidae are as distinct from the Helicinidue in organization as are the Littorinidae from the, Neritidue, and that Omphalotropis has been clearly proved to belong to neither, but to the Cyclostomidae (See Ann. Mag. \& Nat. Hist. May, 1865, ser. 4, vol. iii, p. 341). Moreover, the Indian locality of Omphalotropis aurantiaca had been shewn to be erroneous by Hinley in the 'Conchologia Indica.' Tho error was long since suggested by Benson (Ann. \& Mag. Nat. Hist. Sept. 1851, ser. 2, vol. viii, p. 194).

The other error that I shall notice occurs in tho 'Addenda et Corrigenda' and runs thus:-" Page 15, add Acmella irydria, Godwin-Austen. North East Bengal." Tho reference quoted is 'Minutes of the 'Trustecs, Imperial Muscum,' Calcutta, vol. vii, p. 162. Now the minutes quoted are not published, but merely printed for record, and the notices containcd in them of additions to the Muscum are mere lists of the names that happen to be attached to specimens, inserted without any attempt at verification. Precisely the same is the case in the 'Register' at the British Muscum. Had Mr. Theobald looked at tho specimens, or had ho made any enquiry about the sholl, he would, I think, have easily learned that no such name as 'Acmella hydria' was ever published, and that tho shull so-callod, was, if I am not mistaken, Tricula montana.

* Proc. A. S. B., 1879, p. 55.
+ For instance, I cannot help doubting whether nay of the numerous forms referred by Mr. Nevill to Microcystis are really congeneric with $I F$, ornatella the typo of the gonus.

Of the remaining species here described, the majority have been collected by Colonel Beddome in the hill-tracts of Southern India. Some of these were sent to me as long as 9 or 10 years ago, others have been received more recently. I feel that I owe many apologies to Col. Beddome and to the other gentlemen, Dr. Anderson, Col. Evezard, and Col. Godwin-Austen, who have kindly entrusted me with the description of their discoveries, for leaving these so long unnoticed.

The plates accompanying the present paper are unfortunately deficient in many respects. Several species are not represented, and some of the representations given are far from being good. The original drawings were, in all cases, excellent, but some of them may, after being kept for several years, have become indistinct in parts, and as the lithographer had not the shells for comparison, he may have misunderstood the details. The larger shells represented in plate iii. are fairly well delineated, but several of the small forms in plate ii. are more or less faulty.

The importance of a careful study of the anatomy in the different forms of Helicidee has already been mentioned. Very much remains to be done before anything like a correct classification of the family can be practicable. That all the forms referred to Nanina (a name which has no claim to recognition) must be separated from Helix is clear enough; the animals belong to different subfamilies at least, but it is by no means certain how many real generic groups there are in the so-called Nanina. I suspect that Macrochlamys, very possibly with some of the forms referred by Stoliczka to Rotula,* will have to be separated generically from another group comprising the sections known as Hemiplecta and Ariophanta, which are very closely allied to each other, and which are probably congeneric with Jesta and several other forms. For the present, I have simply referred the species described to the sections to which they appear to belong, as Stuliczka did, but I am by no means prepared to follow him in accepting such sections as of generic rank. The difficulty is to determine what generic name or names should be adopted. Nanina is utterly bad; it offends every law ; the name bad been used previously by Risso ; $\dagger$ the type is the same as that of Benson's genus Macrochlamys ; and the term is objectionable on account of its signification. All this has been pointed out by Martens, $\ddagger$ but still he and others employ the name because it has crept into use. Now, in such difficult matters as these generic terms, unless rules are strictly attended to, utter confusion must result, and undoubtedly it has resulted. When, however, a search is made for a better founded term then Nanina, endless difficulties are encountered. The ear-

[^0]liest name is Helicarion of Ferussac (1822), but it is far from clear that this is not generically distinct from both Macrochlamys and Ariophanta. The next term is Stenopus of Guilding (1828), applied to a West Indian shell. This genus is evidently closely allied to the so-called Nanina: the only distinction pointed out by H. and A. Adams* is that the sole in Stenopus is narrower than the sides of the foot, but this does not hold good universally. $\uparrow$ A better difference is probably the position of the genital orifice, which appears to be, in Stenopus, some distance behind the head, as in Zonites, and not just behind the right tentacle, as in 'Nonina.' After' Stenopus follow Macrochlamys of Benson (1832) and Ariophanta of Desmoulins (1833), the first founded on $H$. indica (Benson nec. Pfr.), believed by many authors to be the same as $H$. vitrinoides, the second founded on H. lavipes. The name Nanina was given in 1834. My impression is that Helicarion, Macrochlamys, and Ariophanta will have to be accepted as genera, Nanina being merely a synonym of Maerochlamys.

I must apologize for taking up space by repeating what has been often written before, but it is only right to explain why I now describe as Hemiplecta, Euplecta, \&c. shells allied to others formerly in these 'Contributions' called Nanina.

## 1. Ariophanta mmmerita. Plate III, Fig. 4, 4 a.

Nanina (Ariophanta) immerita, W. Blanf., J. A. S. B., 1870, xxxix. pt. 2, p. 17.
IHelix immerita, Pfr., Mon. Hel. vii. p. 128; Hanley \& Theobald, Conch. Ind. pl. cl, fig. 7.

This shell was originally described from an immature specimen, and the same was figured in the 'Conchologia Indica.' Subsequently, Col. Beddome obtained an adult shell from the same locality, South Canara. Of this example a figure is now given. The species only differs in sculpture from A. interrupta, which is found in various parts of Bengal + and Orissa, and has been procured by Col. Beddome as far south as the Golcondah range of hills in Vizagapatam. The two forms replace each other in the eastern and western parts of the Indian peninsula, preciscly as do their allies $A$. lavipes and $A$. laidlayana.

## 2. Oxytes sylvicola, sp. nov.

Testa perforata, depressa, carinata, solidula, olcoso-micans, epidermide crassiuscula obtecta fulva vel luteo-fusca, striis obliquis incrementi

[^1]atque lineis impressis minutis spiralilus subdistantibus superne decussata (nucleo sublavigata), subtus lavior sed distincte decussato-striata. Spira parum elevata depresso-conoidea, fere convexa, apice obtuso, suturâ lineari, antice vix impressû. Anfi. $5 \frac{1}{2}$, sensim accrescentes, primi plamblati, ultimi convexiusculi, ultimus haud descendens, subtus convexus, modice inflatus, sell infra carinam, nisi juxta aperturam, leviter compressus. Apertura obliqua, angulata-lunaris, intus livido-albida; peristoma acutum, intus sub-incrassato-labiatum, marginibus callo tenui junctis, columellari curvato, breviter reflexo. Diam. maj. 32, min. 29, axis 17 mm . Apert. $16 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~mm}$. lata, $13 \frac{1}{2}$ oblique alta.

Hab. In montibus ' Burail Range' dictis, ad alt. 3000-4000 pedum, in provincia 'North Cachar' Bengaliæ orientalis (H. H. Godwin-Austen).

Shell perforate, depressed, carinate, not very thin, having a greasy lustre, and a thick epidermis, tawny or yellowish brown, marked with oblique raised strie of growth decussated by fine subdistant spiral impressed lines above (the nucleus almost smooth), and with fainter radiating strie and concentric impressed lines below. Spire but little raised, almost convex, depressedly conoid, apex obtuse, suture linear at first, but slightly impressed near the mouth. Whorls $5 \frac{2}{2}$, gradually increasing, the inner nearly flat above, the outer slightly convex; the last not descending, convex and moderately swollen below, but slightly compressed just below the keel, except near the mouth. Aperture oblique, angulately lunate, a little broader than high, pale livid within. Peristome sharp, with a slightly thickened lip inside, the margins joined by a thin callus, columellar margin curved, reflected for a short distance at the perforation. Major diameter 1.26 inches, minor $1 \cdot 14$, axis 0.69 , breadth of aperture 0.65 , height (measured obliquely) 0.53 .

There is a very remarkable resemblance between this shell and that described by me as Naniza koondacnsis (J. A. S. B., 1870, xxxix, pt. 2, p. 16, pl. iii, fig. 12), jet I am by no means sure that both belong to the same section or subgeneric group. $N$. koondaensis is an ally of $N$. indica (Pfr.) and $N$. shiplayi, shells doubtless nearly allied to Hemiplecta, and very possibly belonging to that subgenus, but hitherto referred to Rotule,* or to other sections. O. sylvicola is larger, more solid, and covered with a distinet epidermis, and the sculpture is less granulate above, the spiral impressed lines being more distant.

I have seen but one specimen of $O$. sylvicola, for which I am indebted to Col. Godwin-Austen. It is figured here. Other specimens, I learn, are larger.

* Stoliczka, J. A. S. B., 1871, xl, pt. 2, 231.


## 3. Hemiplecta tinostoma, sp. nov., Plate III, Fig. 1.

Testa anguste umbilicata, convexo-depressa, confertim striis spiralibus minut is lincisque incrementi decussata; fulva, line $\mathfrak{a}$ pallidâ angustâ supra peripheriam, altera fuscâ infora, cincta; subtus pallidior, lavior, nitidula. Spira convexa, apice obtuso, sutura primum lineari, antice impressa. Anfr. 5, planiusculi, sonsim accrescentes; ultimus convexior, antice latior subascendens, ad peripheriam angulatus, subtus convexus, aperturam versus planulatus. Apertura obliqua, multo latior quam alta, lanato-oblonga, intus albescens, fasciâ peripherali albida conspicuâ ; peristomatis marginibus subparallolis, callo tenui junctis, basali albo, recto, crassiusculo, longe obliquo, ad umbilicum subreflexo, supero arcuato, leviter inflexo. Dian. maj. 50, min. 39, axis 21 mm . ; apert. 28 mm . lata, 18 oblique alta.

Hab. In montibus 'Tinnevelly Ghats' dictis Indiæ meridionalis, ad latus orientale provinciæ Travancore (H. Beddome).

Shell narrowly umbilicate, convexly depressed, closely decussated with fine spiral strix and lines of growth, smoother beneath, yellowish brown above, paler below, surrounded by a narrow pale line just above the periphery and a dark line below. Spire convex, apex obtuse ; suture at first flat, becoming impressed towards the mouth. Whorls 5, the first nearly flat; the last convex above, becoming more so towards the aperture, where it is rather broader and rises a little ; below, the shell is convex, but flattened near the mouth, and the greater breadth of the last whorl near the aperture is more conspicuous than above. Aperture oblique, much wider than high, brownish kivid, with a whitish enamel within, the pale peripheral band being conspicuous; peristome slightly sinuate, the upper and lower margins nearly parallel, the former slightly inflexed, the latter oblique, straight, white, and somewhat thicker than the other margins. Major diameter 2 inches, minor 1.55 , axis 0.85 ; breadth of aperture $1 \cdot 1$, height (measured obliquely) 0.72 .

This shell somerhat resembles $H$. basilessa and $H$. beddomei, but differs from both in the peculiar form of the aperture and the great flattening of the last whorl beneath. The fine, decussated, almost granulate sculpture of the present species, and the less rapid increase of the last whorls would serve to distinguish it from either of the forms named, even if the peculiar shape of the aperture proved to be an individual peculiari-ty-not a very probable supposition, as there is a faint approach to the same change of form in the last whorl in $H$. basilessa.

But a single specimen has been procured by Col. H. Beddome, and entrusted to me for description. This shell was obtained on the Tinnevelly Ghats, between Timnevelly and Travancore, at a spot east of Papanassam, and at an elevation of 5000 feet.

## 4. Hemiplecta enisa, sp. nov., Plate III, Fig. 2, $2 a$.

Testa anguste umbilicata, depressa, subcarinata, fulvo-castanea, subtus pallidior; fasciâ exigû̂ peripleerali albidd circumdata, confertim striis incrementi lineisque minutis spiralibus subgranulatim decussata, circa umbilicum lœvior. Spira depresso-convext, apice obtuso, suturâ primum lincari, antice impressâ. Anfr. $4_{2}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, planiusculi, sensim accrescentes : ultimus superne magis convexus, ad peripheriam subangulatus, antice latior, subtus convexus, juxta aperturam paululo compressus. Apertura obliqua, latior quam alta, lunato-oblonya, supra peripheriam subangulata, intus pallide livida, fusciâ peripherali albescente conspicua ; peristomatis marginibus subparallelis, callo tenui granulato junctis, supero externoque arcuatis, haud inflexis vel incrassatis, basali allo, recto, obtuso, longe obliquo, ad umbilicum subreflexo. Diam. maj. $42 \frac{1}{2}$, min. 36, axis 20 mm .; apertura 23 lata, 17 oblique alta.

Hab. In montibus 'Aghastyamullay' dictis, inter provincias Tinnevelley atque Travancore, in Indiâ meridionali (H. Beddome).

Shell narrowly umbilicate, depressed, subcarinate, yellowish chestnut, paler and dull yellow below around the umbiliens, surrounded by a narrow pale band, which is only well marked near the mouth; the sculpture is fine and subgranulate, formed by decussating striæ of growth and fine spiral lines, the latter disappearing below near the umbilicus. Spire depressedly convex, apex obtuse; suture linear, and not impressed, except in the anterior half of the last whorl. Whorls $4_{2}^{1}$, all except the last flat, gradually increasing ; the last whorl more convex above, especially towards the mouth, where it is slightly broader, subangulate at the periphery, convex below, but a little compressed close to the mouth. Aperture oblique, broader than high, lunately semioval, subangulate at the upper portion of the outer edge, pale livid within, with the narrow whitish band along the blunt keel very conspicuous. The peristome is not thickened, except very slightly along the basal margin, which is white, oblique, and straight for a considerable distance, being very slightly reflected at the umbilicus; the other margins are regularly convex, the upper and lower margins being subparallel ; the callus comecting the free margins of the aperture is thin, but granular. Major dianeter 1.72 inches, minor 1.4 , axis 0.8 ; aperture 0.95 inch broad, 0.68 high (measured obliquely).

Col. Beddome has sent to me two specimens of this shell, one adult, the other not quite fully grown. The species is near $H$. tinostoma, but is considerably smaller, and the peculiar Hattening and compression of the last whorl, near the mouth, is far less, the aperture being, in consequence, not nearly so broad in proportion to the height. Another allied form, also
from Travancore, is H. basilessa; but this is a thicker shell, with broader whorls and rather a thick lip to the aperture ; the sculpture, too, is different. None of the remaining species of Hemiplecta occurring in the Malabar province have the mouth compressed.

## 5. Xestina* albata, sp. nov., Pl. III, Fig. 3, 3a., 36.

Testa angustissime atque subobtecte umbilicata, depresso-globosa, solidiuscula, rugoso-striata, lineis impressis distantibus spiralibus superne circumdata, albida, eburnea. Spira depresso-conica, apice obtuso, suturâ impressa. Anfr. $5 \frac{1}{2}$, convexiusculi, sensim accrescentes, primi translucentes, sublavigati; ultimus primum, nec antice, ad peripheriam subangulatus, aperturam versus latior, vix descendens, subtus subinflatus. Apertura obliqua, late lunaris ; peristomate superne simplici, extus subtusque subreflexo, juxta umbilicum reflexo atque subincrassato, margine basali arcuato. Diam. maj. 29, min. $23 \frac{1}{2}$, axis $17 \frac{1}{2}$ mm.; apert. intus 15 lata, 14 oblique alta.

Hab. Ad Papanassam, in montibus ad latus occidentale provinciæ Tinnevelly, Indiæ meridionalis (H. Beddome).

Shell very narrowly and subobtectly umwilicate, depressedly globose, subangulate at the periphery, rather solid, ivory-white, the surface wrinkled, forming a coarse oblique striation across the whorls, with fine spiral distant impressed lines on the upper surface only of the two last whorls. Spire depressedly conical, apex obtuse, suture impressed. Whorls $5 \frac{1}{2}$, slightly convex, regularly increasing, the first almost smooth and translucent; the last whorl at first subangulate at the periphery, the angulation disappearing some distance behind the mouth, the lower portion inflated near the aperture, which is oblique and broadly lunate. Peristome simple above, subreflected on the outer and basal margins, rather thicker and turned back near the umbilicus, which it partly covers ; the basal margin is curved forwards. Major diameter 1.5 inch, minor 0.95 axis 0.7 ; breadth of aperture inside 06 , height (measured obliquely) 0.56 .
'This form is allied to $X$. maderaspatana (Helix maderaspatana, auct.), but it is thicker, much more coarsely sculptured, and white in colour. The peristome too is slightly reflected. There is some resemblance also to $\boldsymbol{X}$. belangeri in form, but the mouth is somewhat differently shaped, and the sculpture of $X$. albata is coarser. $X$. belangeri appears to be a near ally of $X$ tranquebarica, semirugata, and bombayana, forms differing in shape, but so variable and so closely allied that it is very doubtful whether they really merit distinction. All of these forms have a horny shell differing from the ivory-white substance of the species now described.

* Pfeiffer, J. B. Jahrbuch d. Mal. Ges. v, p. 257.

But a single specimen has been sent by Col. Beddome. I think I have seen the same, or a very similar form, from either the Pulneys or some other range of Southern India; but I cannot find specimens in my collection.

## 6. Euplecta vidus. Plate II, Fig. 5.

Helix vidua, W. Bl., MSS.; Hanley, Conchologia Indica, pl. cxxx. figs. 2, 3.
Nanina elimacterica, Bens., var. vidua, Nevill, Hand-list Mollusea, Indian Museum, Calcutta, pt. i. p. 30.

Testa imperforata, conoideo-depressa, superne oblique confertim atque arcuatim filiformi-costulata, subtus loevigata, polita, radiatim striatula, superne pallide cornea, subtus pallidior. Spira depresso-conica, lateribus subrectis, apice acutiusculo, suturâ impressâ. Anff. 8, convexi, arcti, lente accrescentes; ultimus superne ad peripheriam angulatus, antice vix descendens, subtus convexus. Apertura obliqua, lunaris, latior quam alta. Peristoma obtusum, leviter sinuatum, intus vix albo-labiatum, margine basali arcuato, columellari vix reflexo. Diam. maj. 17, min. $15 \frac{1}{2}$, axis $9 \frac{1}{2}$, mm.

Hab. In montibus Garo Khasi et Naga dictis, vallem Assamensem meridiem versus contingens (Masters, Godwin-Austen).

Varietas minor, depresso-turbinata, spirâ conicâ. Diam. maj. 14, min. 121 , axis 9 mm . (PI. II, Fig. 2.)

Hab. Cum præcedente.
Shell imperforate, conoidly depressed, above ornamented with oblique, close, and arcuate fine hair-like costulation, smooth and marked with radiating striæ below ; pale horny, paler beneath. Spire depressedly conical, the sides nearly straight, apex rather sharp, suture impressed. Whorls 8 , convex, narrow, slowly increasing in size, the last angulate above at the periphery, scarcely descending towards the mouth, convex below. Aperture oblique, lunate, broader than high. Peristome not sharp, slightly wavy, with a very slight white thickening inside, the basal margin curved forward, the columellar scarcely reflected. Major diameter 0.67 , minor 0.62 , axis 0.38 inch.

The above is the typical form ; but there is a smaller variety, depressedly turbinate in shape, with the spire conical, measuring 0.55 inch in its major diameter and 0.36 in height. This form passes by insensible gradations into the type.

The shell represented in the 'Conchologia Indica' is intermediate between the two varieties bere described and figured; the apex in the 'Conchologia' figure is more prominent and blunt than in the specimens now before me. These were procured from the Naga hills, south of Gola Ghat, Assam, by Mr. Masters in 1859 ; other specimens were subsequently
found on the Garo, Khasi, and Naga hills by Colonel Godwin-Austen. The shells from the Khasi hills have the filiform costulation on the upper surface finer and less regular than those from the Assam side of the Naga hills. In Khasi shells 2, 3, or 4 ribs occur at nearly regular intervals, and then a rib appears to be omitted; this is not the case with those from upper Assam.

The species scarcely differs from $E$. crnatissima, found on the other side of the Brahmaputra valley at the base of the Sikkim hills, except in being imperforate. E. climacterica, of which Mr. Nevill considers the present shell a variety, is always sharply keeled at the periphery. The two forms may pass into each other, but I have never seen any intermediate links; and as they differ from each other much more than $E$. vidua does from E. ornatissima, or E. climacterica from E. austeni, it is better to have distinctive names for them.

I am indebted to Col. Godwin-Austen for the following note on the animal of E. vidua observed at Cherra Poonjee, Khasi hills.
" Animal of a neutral grey tint about the neck and eye-tentacles, which are rather long and fine, the oral tentacles are also of a dark tinge. Extremity of foot truncated, with mucous gland. Body long and thin. No tongue-like processes to the mantle observed."

The genus Euplecta was proposed by Semper* for two Ceylonese shells Helix subopaca and HI. layardi. The latter of these is referred by both Theobald $\dagger$ and Nevill $\ddagger$ to Situla, a position which is scarcely tenable, for the animal of $\boldsymbol{H}$. layardi is destitute of shell-lobes, whilst these are present in Situla§; and the odontophores are very different, neither the shape nor number of the teeth being similar. At the same time, I am rather doubtful whether $H$. layardi should not be placed in a separate section from $H$. subopaca on account of differences both in the shell and odontophore. The last-named species, however, is, I think, to be accepted as type. It is greatly to be regretted that Semper should have adopted so loose and uncertain a proceeding as to name two distinct forms as types of one genus. In such a case, the only plan is to take the first-named-in this case, H. subopacaas the type of Euplecta.

The genus is thus defined by its author in German:-On the mantle edlye only neck-lobes are present, the left is divided into two separate lappets (as in many Melices). Above the caudul gland there is a short horn. The shell entirely exterior, ribbed or striated above, smooth below. On the

[^2]genitalorgans a cylindrical female supplementary gland (Anhangsdrüse) with a cartîlaginous point (analogous to the dart?) ; on the vas deferens (Samenleiter) a closed appendage, in which calcareous concretions are formed, and a flagellum.

The odontophore is not noticed in the generic description. In $E$. subopaca, the number of teeth in each cross-row is about 100, central tooth tricuspid, the neighbouring laterals 12 in number distinctly bicuspid, from the 13th to the 21 th almost without a trace of the little lateral point, which, howerer, reappears in the outer laterals. Euplecta belongs to Semper's subdivision Ceratophora with a horn-like lobe above the caudal gland, and the sole of the foot divided into a central and two lateral regions as in Macrochlamys (and Stenopus).

In the characters of both shell and animal, so far as we know the latter, there is a remarkable resemblance between $E$. subopaca and $E$. vidua. The connection between $E$. vidua and $E$. climacterica has already been noticed, and in the latter the odontophore (of which Col. GodwinAusten has kindly furnished me with notes and drawings) agrees very closely with that of $E$. subopaca. The following is a description of the teeth in E. climacterica:-
"Median tooth tricuspid, the central point very long, the lateral cusps very small. The first 14 laterals are long and broad with a single short small cusp on the lower outer margin, the 25 outermost are long narrow, curvilinear, bicuspid, the outer point the shorter, being less than half as long as the inner. Jaw slightly curved, the front edge a little convex."

The number of teeth in a row is apparently 79. A sketch shews that the form of both central tooth and laterals is very similar to that in $\boldsymbol{E}$. subopaca.

Euplecta is by Semper classed apart from Rotula. The animal of the type of this latter genus (H. detecta, from Bourbon) is still unknown. Semper has described the anatomy of two very different species, and there is no proof that they are congeneric. It is also extremely doubtful whether, of the forms referred to Rotula by Stoliczka,* any belong really to the section; and I an disposed to believe that Nevill was right in removing them in his 'Hand-list,' where, however, $\dagger$ he simply classes them in Nanina without specifying any subgeneric group. Judging, it is true, chiefly from the shells, I should class the following Indian and Burmese species in Euplecta:-

Helix ponsa, $\ddagger$ Benson; from Burma.

[^3]Nunina silerigallensis, Nevill ; Bengal, Behar (Hand-list, p. 28).
Helix climacterica, Benson; Assam hills, Burma.
Euplecta vidua, Assam hills.
Nanina austeni, W. Bl. ; Garo hills, Assam.
N. falcata, W. Bl. ; Garo hills, Assam.

Helix ornatissima, Benson; base of Himalayas, Sikkim and Nipal.
Helix serrulct also probably belongs to the same genus. About $\boldsymbol{H}$. anceps and its near ally, $I I$. arata, I am more doubtful; for there are shelllobes to the mantle in the former, and the teeth of the odontophore differ in several particulars.*

As regards $H$. indica (Pfr. nec Benson), H. shiplayi, and $H$. acuducta, I cannot now find the notes I made many years since on the animals, but I believe they belong to the forms allied to Ariophanta, in which the foot is broad with the sole undivided, and there is no projecting lobe above the caudal gland. The shells present much resemblance to the type of Albers' section Thalassia. $H$. tugurium and H. camura from Sikkim are still more like H. subrugata from Australia, the type of Thalassia.

## 7. Sesara? ingrami.

Helix ingrami, Blanford, Hanley, Conchologia Indica, pl. lx. figs. 9, 10.
Rotula diplodon, Bs., partim, Theobald, Cat. Land \& Freshwater Shells Brit. Ind. p. 21.

Nanina (Sesara ${ }^{\text {? }}$ ) diplodon, Bs., partim, Nevill, Handlist Moll. Ind. Mus. pt. i. p. 53.
Testa imperforata, trochiformis, tenuis, diaphana, pallide cornea, minutissime atque confertissime granulatim decussato-striata. Spira subconica, lateribus eonvexiusculi, apice obtuso, suturâ parum impressâ, lineâ filiformi marginatâ. Anfr. $6 \frac{1}{2}$, regulariter accrescentes, vix convexiusculi, superiores lavigati; ultimus acute carinatus, non descendens, et supra et infra carinam compressus, basi extus decussato-striatus, atque, presertim antice, aperturam versus, planulatus, intus convexiusculus atque lavigatus, striis medium versus evanescentibus, regione umbilicali impressâ. Apertura diagonalis, incurvo-triangularis, intus tridentatus, dentibus lamelliformibus omnilus basalibus, duobus in peristomate, uno majori falcato intrante, extus convexo, in medio margine basali, alio minori obliquo subcolumellari, tertio profundo, incurvo, transversim post majorem posito. Peristoma album, modice incrassatum, margine basali sinistrorsum arcuato, dextrorsum subangulatim sinuato, columellari vix reflexo. Diam. maj. $6 \frac{1}{2}$, min. vix 6, alt. $4 \frac{1}{2}$.

Hab. In montibus 'Yoma' dictis, Pegu ab Arakan secernentibus, haud procul a vico Tongoop.

- Stoliczka, J. A. S. B., 1871, xl, pt. 2, pp. 234, 236.

Shell imperforate, trochiform, thin, translucent, pale horny, very minutely and closely striated both obliquely and spirally, so as to be covered, except on the upper whorls, with fine almost granular decussated sculpture. Spire nearly conical, with the sides slightly convex; apex obtuse; suture very little impressed, and with a filiform line above, the continuation of the keel on the last whorl. Whorls $6 \frac{1}{4}$, increasing regularly, nearly flat, only a little convex, the uppermost quite smooth, the sculpture growing stronger on the lower whorls; the last whorl sharply keeled, not descending, compressed both above and below the keel, with the outer portion of the base flat, especially towards the mouth, and decussated, the inner portion moderately convex and smooth, the sculpture gradually disappearing towards the middle; umbilical region impressen. Aperture diagonal, triangular with the sides curved, with three lamelliform teeth inside, all palatal, and in the basal margin : the largest is in the middle of the margin, and is much curved, with its convex side outwards; it begins by forming a kind of thickening to the lip, and then curves away into the interior of the whorl ; the second is smaller, oblique, and situated near to the columellar margin ; the third is at some distance within the aperture, it is curved, and placed transversely behind the first. Peristome white, somerwhat thickened, the basal margin curved forwards near the umbilical region, and angulately curved back near the periphery of the shell; columellar margin scarcely reflected. Major diameter 0.25 , minor 0.23 , height 0.18 inch.

In the figure in the 'Conchologia Indica,' the internal tooth is not shown, although all the teeth are clearly seen through the semi-transparent base of the shell.

The caudal pore in the animal is very small, and furnished with a lobe in front of it, but the tail is not truncated abruptly as in Hacrochlamys. This is the only note I can find on the soft parts.

This shell was named in MS. in the year 1861, and a specimen transmitted to Mr. Benson, who, however, doubted whether it could be distinguished from the Khasi-hill form described by him as Helix diplodon. The typical specimen of the latter must, I think, have been in poor condition, for it was described as "lavigata, parum striatula", whereas fresh specimens exhibit nearly the same fine subgranulate decussating striation as S.? ing. $\alpha$. mi, and Mr. Benson very probably, and very justly, thought that fresh specimens might agree with the Arakan shell in other characters. Subsequently, fresh specimens of S.? diplodon were obtained from the original locality by Colonel Godwin-Austen ; and I find that they differ from S.? ingrami not only in being minutely perforate, a character to which by itself I should attach little or no importance, but also in having but two tecth in the aperture instead of three, the internal transverse tooth of S.? ingromi being deficient in S. ? diplodon, whilst the other teeth are
differently shaped. The sculpture is somewhat finer in S.? diploclon, and the basal margin of the aperture is subangularly concave, without the curving forwards due to the transverse portion of the larger tooth in S. ingrami. The last character is well shown in the 'Conchologia' figure.
8. Macrochlamys? platychlamys, sp. nov., Plate 1I, Fig. 9.

Testa perforata, conoideo-depressa, pertenuis, nitida, lavigatn, sub lente obsolete striatula, fulvo-comea. Spira parum elevata, apice obtuso, suturâ levi aliquando marginatâ. Anfr. 5, vix convexiusculi, regulaviter accrescentes; ultimus non descendens, peripheriâ rotundatus, subtus convexus. Apertura obliqua, lunaris, lutior quam alta. Peristoma tenue, simplex, leviter sinuatum, marginibus remotis, callo tenuissimo junctis, columellari brevissine verticali, peranguste reflexo. Diam. mrj. 11, min. $9 \frac{1}{2}$, axis $5 \frac{1}{2}$.

Animal pallio maximo indutum, duos lobos latos linguiformes emittente, qui spiram teste omnino circumtegunt.
$\mathrm{H}_{\Delta \mathrm{b}}$. Ad Bombay.
Shell perforate, conoidly depressed, very thin, smooth, and polished, obsoletely striated beneath the lens, fulvous horny in colour. Spire subconical, but little raised, apex obtuse; suture smooth, scarcely impressed, sometimes marginate. Whorls 5 , very slighly convex, regularly increasing in size, the last not descending, rounded at the periphery, convex below. A perture oblique, lunate, broader than high. Peristome thin, simple, slightly curved when viewed from the side; margins distant and united by a thin callus; the columellar border vertical for a very short distance, slightly reflexed. Major diameter 0.44 , minor 0.38 , axis 0.22 inch.

This shell belongs to the group of thin, more or less depressed forms allied to the type usually known as $M$. vitrinoides (M. indicus, Benson). It appears, so far as I can see, to be undescribed, as is also, I believe, an allied form of darker colour, and with a subangulate periphery, occurring at Trichinopoly and elsewhere in the neighbourhood of the Coromandel coast south of Madias.

The animal of M. platychlamys is chiefly distinguisbed by the peculiarly broad shell-lobes, which, instead of being narrow and attenuate towards the ends, as in most allied species, are broad and flat, so as sometimes to cover the whole spire, and usually to conceal all except a narrow band. These lobes somewhat resemble those in the genus Helicarion. The lobe above the caudal gland is very much smaller than it usually is in Macrochlamys and rounded, not horn-shaped.

This shell is common in the island of Bombay and neighbouring lowlands on the west coast of India, and I have seen a form from the hills of
the Wynaad in Southern India that appears undistinguishable. I have also several specimens of a Macrochlamys from the ancient town of Champanir, near Broach, that may very possibly be a variety of $M$ platychlamys. The specimens are larger than the Bombay types, an adult measuring 16 mm . by 14 in its two diameters, and some individuals attain even greater dimensions; the mouth too is rather more convex beneath, but otherwise the two forms agree very closely.

The figure gives the idea of a rather thick shell, and the form of the mouth is incorrect, being too convex below and, consequently, too high in comparison with the breadth.

## 9. Macrochlamys tendicula. Pl. II, Fig. 8.

Macrochlamys tenuicula, H. Ad., P. Z. S. 1868, p. 14, pl. iv, fig. 9.
Helix tenuicula, Pfr., Mon. Hel. vii. p. 94.-Hanley, Conch. Ind. pl. Ixxxix, figs. 7, 10.

Macrochlamys effulgens, W. B1., MSS.—Theobald, Cat. Land and Freshwater Shells of British India, p. 18.

Nanina (Macrochlamys) effulgens, Nevill, Hand-list Mollusca, Indian Museum, Calcutta, part i. p. 26.

Nanina (Microcystis .?) tenuicula, Nevill, ib. p. 36.
Testa aperte perforata, turbinata, tenuis, flavo- vel fulvo-cornea, lavigata, nitida, diaphana, oblique striatula, sub lente lineis impressis confertis minutis in anfractibus superioribus subtilissime decussata. Spira subconi$c a$, lateribus convexiusculis, apice obtuso, suturâ leviter impressâ. Anfr. $5 \frac{1}{2}-6$, convexiusculi, regulariter arescentes, ultimus non descendens, ad peripheriam obsolete subangulatus, angulo omnino antice evanescente, sed in testis junioribus ralidiore, subtus convexus, radiatim striatulus. Apertura obliqua, ovato-lunaris, latior quam alta. Peristoma tenue, rectum, marginibus subconniventibus, columellari subverticali, breviter reflexo. Diam. maj. 9, min. $8 \frac{1}{4}$, axis 6 mm .

Hab. Ad Bombay et in terris vicinis, necnon in montibus 'Western Ghats' seu 'Sybadri' dictis.

Shell openly perforate, turbinate, thin, yellow or fulvous horny, smootb, polished, transparent, obliquely striated, and under the leus finely decussated on the upper whorls with minute, close, impressed spiral lines. Spire subconical, the sides a little convex, apex obtuse, suture slightly impressed. Whorls $5 \frac{1}{2}-6$, rather convex, regularly increasing, the last not descending, obsoletely subangulate at the periphery (in immature shells distinctly angulate), the angle disappearing near the mouth, convex below and radiately striated. Aperture oblique, ovately lunate, broader than high. Peristome thin, straight, the margins approaching each other slightly, columellar
margin subvertical，reflected for a short distance．Major diameter 0．36， minor 0.33 ，axis 0.24 inch．The foot of the animal is very long and narrow， and there are the usual pointed shell－lobes to the mantle．The colour of the body is almost black．

The shell described by the late Mr．H．Adams as Macrochlamys temui－ culc appears to me almost certainly to be the immature form of a species common in Bombay．This form I have had for many years ；and I former－ ly distributed specimens under the MSS．name of Helix effirlgens，a name which has unfortunately got into print．The adult shell has never been described；but the specimen figured in the＇Conchologia Indica＇must have been nearly full－grown．Mr．Adams＇s original types were said to be from Sattara．It is probable they came from the Western Ghats in the Sattara district ；but the species may extend to the damper portions of the Deccan plateau．

The figures herewith given are very unsatisfactory；the left－hand figure is quite inaccurate．This，however，is of less importance，as the shell is very fairly represented in the＇Conchologia Indica．＇

## 10．Macrochlamys？phicifera．

Nanina plicatula，W．Bl．，J．A．S．B．，1870，xxxix，pt．2，p．13，pl．iii，fig．7．neo N．plicatula，Mart．，Nachrichtsbl．mal．Gesellsch．，1869，i，p． 149.

Helix plicatula，Hanley，Conch．Ind．，p．14，pl．xxviii，fig．1．
Mucrochlamys plicatula，Theobald，Cat．Land and Freshwater Shells Brit．Ind．p． 19.
Nanina，n．sp．，Nevill，Hand－list Moll．Ind．Mus．Calcutta，p． 27.
I am indebted to $\mathrm{Mr}_{r}$ ．Nevill for calling attention to the fact that the name I gave to this shell was pre－occupied．I propose to change the speci－ fic title to plicifera．

11．Macrochlamys？winnei，sp．nov．，Plate III，Fig．5，5a．
Testa perforata，subturbinato－depressa，striatula，nitida，albido－comea， diaphuna，fasciâ rufû supra peripheriam circumdata．Spira depresso－coni－ $c a$ ，apice obtuso，suturâ leviter impressâ，fasciâ rufâ intus marginatâ． Anfi． $5 \frac{1}{2}$ ，lente accrescentes，ultimus peripherid rotundatus，subtus modice convexus，aperturam versus vix descenlens．Apertura late lunaris，obliqua， diagonalis；peristoma tenue，intus haud incrassatum，margine basali sub－ recto obtuso，columellari reflexo．Diam．maj．19，min． $17 \frac{1}{2}$ ，axis $9 \frac{1}{2}$ mm． （ex icone）．In excmplo minore diam．maj．13⿺⿸⿻一丿又丶刂土 ，min． $12 \frac{3}{4}$ ，axis $7 \frac{1}{3} \mathrm{~mm}$ ． apert． 7 lata， 6 oblique alta．

Hab．Ad Mari（Murree）in montibus Himalayanis oceidentadibus inferioribus haud procul a flumine Jhelum（ $A, B$ ．Wymne）．

Var. major, depressa, anfractibus 6, spirâ convexâ, parum elevatâ: diam. maj. 21⿺辶 $\frac{1}{2}$, min. $19 \frac{1}{2}$, axis 10 mm ., apert. $11 \frac{1}{2}$ lata, 10 oblique alta.

Hab. Etiam ad Mari.
Shell perforate, subturbinately depressed, faintly striated, polished white, translucent, surrounded by a narrow rufous band above the periphery. Spire depressedly conical, apex obtuse, suture slightly impressed, and with a rufous margin inside. Whorls $5_{\frac{1}{2}}^{2}$, increasing slowly and regularly, the last rounded at the periphery, moderately convex beneath, scarcely descending towards the mouth. Aperture broadly lunate, oblique, diagonal ; peristome thin, not thickened inside, basal margin almost straight, columellar reflected. Major diameter 0.76 , minor 0.7 , axis 0.37 inch (taken from the figure). A smaller specimen measures:-major diam. 0.54 , minor 0.5 , axis $0 \cdot 3$, breadth of aperture $0 \cdot 27$, height (obliquely measured) $0 \cdot 23$ inch.

There is a larger variety, more depressed, with the spire convex and six whorls. It may possibly be a distinguishable form, but I think not. A specimen measures:-major diameter 0.85 , minor 0.78 , axis 0.42 , breadth of aperture 0.45 , height (obliquely measured) 0.4 .

I greatly question whether this form is really a Macrochlamys, and cannot help suggesting the possibility of its belonging to a different subgeneric group, or even to Zonites. However, it is associated at Mari with a true Macrochlamys (MI. prona*) and two or three species of Helicarion; so it is evident that a few of these tropical types extend to this extreme north-western portion of the Himalayan range, where, however, the majority of the mollusea consist of Bulimini of the Petrous section.

The specimen of $M$. wynnei from which the accompanying figure was taken has been mislaid or lost, and the description is drawn up from a smaller individual. I have named the shell after Mr. A. B. Wynne of the Geological Survey of India, to whom I am indebted for several mollusca from the neighbourhood of Mari.

I have been in some doubt as to whether this might not be a form of the shell described by Prof. v. Martens as Nanina jacquemonti (Malak. Bl. xvi. 1869, p. 75 ; Pfr. Nov. Conch. iv. p. 48, pl. exviii, figs. 6-8) ; but, in the first place, it can seareely, I think, be the species figured by Jacquemont (Voyage dans l'Inde, Atlas, pl. xvi. fig. 2), and, secondly, N. jacquemonti is described as having "peristoma obtusum, intus incrassatum, margine ...basali leviter arcuuto," none of which can apply to the present species. Pfeiffer's figure in the 'Novitates' shows a very much less oblique mouth than is found in Macrochlamys? wynnei. Now, I have another species from Mari, which agrees admirably with Marten's description in these re-

[^4]spects, and which resembles Jacquemont's figure also, but it wants the red band round the periphery shown in Pfeiffer's figure. It is just possible that two species are included by Martens. The true $N$. jacquemonti is probably a Bensonia.

## 12. Pupa (Pupisoma) evezardi.

"Pupa (Pupisoma) evezardi, Blanford," Nevill, Hand-list Moll. Ind. Mus. Calcutta, pt. i. p. 192.
?" Pupa evezardi, Blanford MS.," Hanley, Conch. Ind. p. 41. pl. ci, figs. 5, 6.Theob. Cat. Land \& Freshwater Shells Brit. Ind. p. 30.-Pfr. Mon. Hel. viii. p. 415.

Testa imperforata, vix subrimata, conoideo-ovata, tenuis, cornea, lineis elevatis irregularibus filiformibus obliquis ornata. Spira subtus subylindracea, superne conoidea, lateribus convexis, apice obtuso, suturâ impressâ. Anfir. $4 \frac{1}{2}$, convexi, regulariter crescentes, ultimus parum major, peripheria atque basi rotundatus, haud antice descendens. Apertura diagonalis, truncato-rotunda, edentula; peristoma tenue, rectum, expansiusculum, marginibus conniventibus, columellari verticali, ad basin subtorto, adnato-reflexo, regionem umbilicalem tegente. Long. $2 \frac{2}{3}$, diam. fere 2, long. ap. 1 mm .

Hab. In cortice arborum ad Khandalla inter Bombay et Poona (G. Evezard).

Shell imperforate, with scarcely even a trace of rimation in the umbilical region, conoidly ovate, thin, horny, with raised hair-like oblique lines, rather irregularly disposed, on all the whorls. Spire nearly cylindrical below, conoidal above, the sides convex, apex blunt, suture impressed. Whorls $4 \frac{1}{2}$, convex, increasing in size regularly; the last but little larger than the penultimate, rounded at the periphery and below, not descending in front. Aperture diagonal, nearly circular, but truncated above, without teeth; peristome thiu, all in one plane, slightly expanded, margins converging; columellar vertical above, slightly twisted below, reflected and united to the whorl so as completely to cover the umbilicus. Length 0.11, diameter 0.08 , length of aperture 0.04 inch.

If the form represented by Hanley in the 'Conchologia Indica' be precisely the same as that described above, I am inclined to question the locality given, "Singhur," or, as Mr. Theobald prefers writing it, "Synghar," presumably Sinhgarl, near Poona. The original specimens were found by Colonel Evezard at Karkalla, near Khandalla, at the head of the Bor-ghat ; and I suspect that Hanley's figure was taken from one of them. There are two or three allied forms found in the Syhadri range and the Nilgiris, forms that do not appear hitherto to have been described.

The subgenus Pupisoma was proposed by Dtoliczka* for the Moulmein

$$
\text { - J. A. S. B., 1873, vol. xlii. pt. 2, p. } 32 .
$$

P. lignicola,* a form very closely resembling $P$. evezardi, but rather shorter and less ovate. It is by no means improbable that intermediate varieties may be found; indeed, so much do I doubt whether the two are really worthy of distinction that I should not have described the present species if the name had not already crept into print.

Mr. Nevill, in his Hand-list l. $c$., has referred the Helix orcula of Benson to the same section of Pupa as $P$ lignicola; and in this he is, I think, unquestionably right.

## 13. Succinea collifa.

"S. collina, Blanford, MS.," Hanley, Conch. Ind. p. 30, p1. 1xviii. figs. 8, 9, 10 ; Theobald, Cat. Land and Freshwater Shells Brit. Ind. p. 31 ; Pfr., Mon. Hel. viii. p. 558 ; Nevill, Hand-list Moll. Ind. Mus. pt. i. p. 212.

Testa conico-ovata, tenuiuscula, parum nitida, distincte atque flexuose striata, viridescenti-cornea. Spira scalaris, apice acutiuscula, suturâ valde impressû. Anfr. vix 3, perconvexi, ultimus $\frac{3}{4}$ longitudinis subcquans. Apertura ovata, obliqua; peristoma tenue, margine dextro mediocriter arcuato; columella arcuata, recedens, callosa. Long. 17, diam. 10, alt. (v. diam. min.) 6 mm., apertura 13 mm . longa, vix 9 lata.

Hab. Saxis rupibusque adhærens prope Mahabaleshwar ad summos montes 'Syhadri' seu 'Western Ghats' dictos Indiæ occidentalis.

Var. aurantiaca v. rufo-cornea; habitat in colle 'Torna' dicto, inter. Mahabaleshwar atque urbem Poona.

Shell conically ovate, rather thin, but little polished, distinctly and flexuously striated, greenish horny in colour. Spire step-like, apex rather pointed, suture much impressed. Whorls scarcely 3, very convex, the last about $\frac{3}{4}$ of the length. Aperture oval, oblique ; peristome thin, the right margin moderately curved forwards; the columella arcuate, receding, and covered with a thin callus. Length $0 \cdot 76$, diameter $0 \cdot 4$, height (when laid mouth downwards) 0.24 inch; length of aperture 0.52 , breadth 0.36 inch. The largest shell I possess measures 20 mm . in length ( 0.8 inch). A rufous variety occurs at Torna Hill, near Sinhgarh, west of Poona.

This is a rock-inhabiting species, $\dagger$ found on cliffs and large blocks of basalt at Mahabaleshwar and Torna, and is allied to S. girnarica, a larger and thicker form, rather differently shaped, found by Mr. Theobald

* J. A. S. B., 1871, vol. xl. pt. 2, p. 171.
+ It is rather difficult to understand why Succinea should be placed amongst fresh. water shells in the 'Conchologia Indica.' Most of the Indian forms are found either on trecs (oftcn on palms) or on rocks, and generally at a distance from water. Lithotis and Comptomy: are also, I think, incorrectly classed as freshwater shells, both being found un basaltic cliffs.
on the basaltic rocks of Girnar Hill, in Kattywar. The animal of S. collina bears a considerable external resemblance to that of the subgenus Lithotis, which has a similar habitat.

The figures in the 'Conchologia Indica' give a fair idea of the species, but the spire in fig. 8 is rather too large.

## 14. Streptaxis compressus, sp. nov., Plate II, Fig. 13.

"S. compressus, Wl. Bl.," Theobald, Cat. Land and Freshwater Shells Brit. Ind. p. 33.

Testa subaperte sed non pervie umbilicata, valde depresso-ovata, cereoalbida, diophana, nitida, vix striatula. Spira vix convexa, fere plana, suturâ parum impressâ. Anfr. $4 \frac{1}{2}$, penultimus postice compressus, obtuse sed prominenter carinatus ; ultimus valde eccentricus, antrorsum devians, subtus planulatus politusque, circa umbilicum, presertine antice, angulatocoarctatus, pone aperturam fossiculis impressis constrictus. Apertura diagonalis, semiovalis, lamina unâ validâ subbifidâ intrante parietali, dente uno duplici columellari, tribus palatalibus in margine dextro, coarctata. Peristoma incrassatum, undique sublate expansum, postice juxta angulum mediocriter sinuatum, marginibus callo lamellifero junctis. Diam. maj. 61, min. $3 \frac{3}{4}$, alt vix 3 ; ap. long. $2 \frac{1}{2}$, lat. 2 mm.

Hab. In montibus 'Sivagiri' dictis (Tinnevelly) Indiæ meridionalis (H. Beddome).

Varietas anfractibus quinque, superne et in umbilico confertim fili-formi-striata, laninâ parietali duplici, in montibus habitat prope urbem Cumbum. Exempli majoris diam. maj. 6 $\frac{1}{2}$, minoris $5 \frac{1}{4}$, diam. min. 4 et $3 \frac{1}{2}$, alt. $2 \frac{3}{4}$ et $2 \frac{1}{2}$.

Shell rather openly but not perviously umbilicated, depressed, oval, yellowish white, translucent, glossy, scarcely striated. Spire almost flat, suture but little impressed. Whorls $4 \frac{1}{2}$, the penultimate compressed and prominently but bluntly keeled posteriorly; the last very eccentric, flattened and smooth below, and angulately compressed around the umbilicus, and especially near the mouth, where there are indentations corresponding to the teeth inside. Aperture diagonal, semioval, and furnished with five tecth, one strong re-entering bifid plait on the parietal callus uniting the margins of the peristome, one large double tooth on the columellar side, three palatal teeth on the right side. Peristome thickened and expanded, curved back near the posterior angle. Major diam. 0.25 , minor 0.15 , height 0.11 inch.

A variety from the Cumbum hills has distinct but very fine close filiform raised lincs on the upper surface and inside the umbilicus, and the
parietal lamina is double. Some specimens are rather smaller than the type. It is doubtful whether these differences justify a separate name.

## 15. Streptaxis personatus, sp. nov., Plate II, Fig. 10.

"S. personatus, Wl. Bl.," Theobald, Cat. Land and Freshwater Shells Brit. Ind. p. 33.

Testa umbilicata, depressa, spharoideo-ovata, lavigata, nitidula, diaphana, cereo-albida. Spira depressa, apice vix exserto, suturâ impressâ. Anfr. 5, convexi, penultimus postice rotundatus, vix ultra ultimum (a basi spectatus) projiciens; ullimus eccentricus, antrorsum devians, subtus convexus, circum umbilicum compressus, post aperturam fossiculis impressis constrictus. Apertura obliqua, fere semiovalis, laminâ unâ validâ flexuosâ intrante parietali, dentibusque 5, tribus in margine columellari, duobus in dextro, harum uno inferiore majore lamince parietali opposito, alio minore superiore, coaretata. Peristoma incrassatum continuum, fere solutum, album, undique late expansum, postice juxta angulum subprofunde retrosinuatum, margine parietali valido, concavo. Diam. maj. 5, min. $3 \frac{3}{4}$, alt. $2 \frac{1}{2}$.

Hab. In montibus haud procul ab urbe Cumbum (Madura) Indiæ meridionalis (H. Beddome).
N. B. In nonnullis exemplis peristoma quadri-vel tridentatum nec quinquedentatum est, dente uno columellari et aliquando uno palatali carens.

Shell umbilicated, depressed, spheroidally ovate, smooth, moderately polished, translucent, pale yellowish white. Spire depressed, the apex scarcely exserted, suture impressed. Whorls 5, convex, the penultimate rounded behind, scarcely projecting beyond the last when seen from below; the last eccentric, convex below, compressed around the umbilicus, and constricted by pits corresponding to the teeth inside, just behind the mouth. Aperture oblique, irregularly semioval, and furnished with one strong re-entering parietal lamina, curved inside, and with five teeth, three on the columellar margin, two on the right ; of the latter the lower is larger and opposite to the parietal lamina, the smaller is above, nearer to the angle. Peristome thickened, continuous, almost free (the thick callus which unites the columellar and dextral margins projecting from the last whorl, in a hollow curve, the concavity corresponding to the parietal lamina); the outer margins expanded, the right margin deeply recurved close to the posterior angle. Major diameter $0 \cdot 2$, minor $0 \cdot 15$, height 0.1 inch.

In other specimens, rather worn, and with the peristome somewhat less developed, the teeth are rather smaller, the upper columellar tooth is wanting, and in one case the upper tooth on the right margin is also de-
ficient. All, bowever, are characterized by the great development of the parietal callus.

## 16. Streptaxis concinnus, sp. nov., Plate II, Fig. 11.

Testa umbilicata, depressa, globoso-ovata, striatula, nitidula, diaphana, crreo-albida. Spira depresso-conica, parum exserta, apice oblusiusculo, sutura impressâ. Anfi. 5, convexi, penultimus postice rotundatus, haud ultra ultimum (a basi spectatus) projiciens; ultimus inflatus, multo major, eccentricus, antrorsum devians, subtus convexus, lavigatus, politus, circum umbilicum praesertim antice compressus, post aperturam fossiculis impressis constrictus. Apertura obliqua, fere semiovalis, lamellis duobus intrantibus parietalibus, sinistrâ longiore, intus tortâ, dentibusque 5, duobus columellaribus, superiore minore juxta umbilicum, inferiore magno duplici, uno basali lamelliformi transverso, duobusque in margine dextro, inferiore subbifido, superiore minore, coarctata. Peristoma album expansum, ad angulum postice vix sinuatum, marginibus callo duas lamellas ferente junc$\boldsymbol{t}$ is. Diam. maj. $5 \frac{3}{4}$, min. 4, alt. $3 \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~mm}$.

Hab. In montibus 'Balarangam' dictis (Mysore) Indiæ meridionalis (H. Beddome).

Shell umbilicated, depressed, globosely ovate, rather indistinctly striated, shining, translucent, pale yellowish white. Spire very low, scarcely exserted, apex blunt, suture impressed. Whorls 5 , convex, the penultimate rounded behind, and not projecting, when viewed from below, beyond the lower whorl ; the last whorl much larger than the others, eccentric, convex below, smooth and polished, compressed around the umbilicus, especially near the mouth, and constricted by indentations, corresponding to the teeth inside, just behind the lip. Aperture oblique, nearly semioval, and furnished with two plaits on the parietal side, that to the left (nearest to the umbilicus) longer than the other and bent inside; there are five teeth in the peristome, one on the columellar margin near the umbilicus, a second large and double nearer the base, one lamellar and transverse at the base, two inside the right margin, the lower being larger than the other and almost bifid inside. Peristome white, slightly expanded, scarcely sinuate near the angle, margins joined by a callus bearing the two parietal plaits. Major diameter 0.23 , minor 0.2 , height 0.13 inch.

This is the only known species from Southern India, so far as I am aware, in which, when the shell is viewed from below in the direction of the axis, the penultimate whorl does not project at all beyond the body-whorl. The transverse lamellar tooth at the base of the aperture is also peculiar.

## 17. Streptaxis pronus, sp. nov., Plate II, Fig. 12.

Testa umbilicata, depresso-ovata, superne confertim atque arouatim costulato-striata, nitidula, diaphana, cerco-allida. Spira depresso-conica, parum exserta, apice obtuso, suturâ parum impressâ. Anfir. $5 \frac{1}{2}$, superiores convexiusculi, penultimus postice rotundatus, longe ultra ultimum (a basi spectatus) projiciens; ultimus valde eccentricus, antrorsum devians, subtus subplanulatus, levigatus, in umbilico striis filiformibus flexuosis ornatus, circum umbilicum compressus atque aperturam versus angulatus, juxta peristoma sarobiculis constrictus. Apertura obliqua, truncato-ovalis, lamellâ validà parietali intrante flexuosâ, antice subbifidâ, dentibusque quatuor, uno columellari, alio basali, duobus in margine dextro, coarctata. Peristoma incrassatum, subcontinuum, album, expansum, marginibus callo crasso lamellifero junctis, dextro prope angulum sinuatum. Diam. maj. 62 $\frac{1}{2}$, min. 4, alt. 3 mm .

Hab. In montibus haud procul ab urbe Tinnevelly Indiæ meridio. nalis (H. Beddome).

Shell umbilicated, depressedly ovate, closely and arcuately ornamented above with subcostulate striation, polished, translucent, pale yellowish white. Spire low, conical, but little exserted, apex obtuse, suture but little impressed. Whorls $5 \frac{1}{2}$, the upper slightly convex, the penultimate rounded behind and projecting considerably beyond the lower whorl when viewed from below ; last whorl very eccentric, somewhat flattened beneath, smooth, except within the umbilicus, where there are fine, irregularly flexuous fliform raised lines on the surface, compressed around the umbilicus and angulate near the aperture, where there are deep indentations corresponding to the teeth inside. Aperture oblique, truncately oval, furnished with a strong re-entering parietal plait, curved within and subbifid in front, and with four teeth-one columellar, one basal, and two (of which the upper is small) inside the right margin. Peristome thickened, subcontinuous, white, expanded, the margins joined by a thick callus projecting from the body-whorl and bearing the parietal lamella, Major diameter 0.26 , minor $0 \cdot 16$, height 0.12 inch.

This shell resembles $S$. compressus in form, but it wants the angulation of the penultimate whorl. The peristome is much thickened, as in S. personatus.

The forms of Ennea and Streptaxis described in this paper are the principal that have been collected in the Southern Indian mountains by Colonel Beddome, from whom I have received specimens from various localities from time to time. All of the species of Streptaxis are somewhat variable, and, with a large collection from South India, it would
probably be found that many intermediate varieties occur. As a rnle, the general form appears more constant than any other characters, and the tceth in the mouth vary considerably. The parietal lamellæ are peculiarly inconstant. Thus, the original type of Streptaxis perrotteti, the common species on the top of the Nilgiri bills, has two lamellæ*; but I have a variety from Ootacamund in which the smaller of the two, that nearer to the angle of the mouth, is obsolete, and in other specimens from the same locality there is but a rudimentary representation of this plait. It was the form with a single lamella which was compared with S. watsoni when the latter was originally described (J. A. S. B., 1860, xxix, p. 127). The variation in the teeth of Streptaxis has already been noticed in these contributions J. A. S. B., 1861, xxx, p. 359.

The genus Streptaxis is abundantly represented on the various hillgroups of Southern India, especially on the higher elevations of the Sybádri, or Western-Ghat range. The most northern locality from which I possess a specimen is the hill-fort of Torna, near Sinhgarh, west of Poona, in the Bombay Presidency. The shell in question is weathered, and not in very good condition; it is a large form (that is, large compared to the minute species described in the preceding pages), measuring $11 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~mm}$. by $8 \frac{1}{2}$, and it is nearly allied to the Nilgiri $S$ perrotteti, and perhaps still more nearly to the Ceylon $S$. cingalensis.

## 18. Ennea macrodon, sp. nov., Plate II, Fig. 15.

Testa flexuose rimata, subcylindrico-turrita, diaphana, nitidula, confertim capillaceo-costulata, cereo-albida. Spira elongata, sursum parum utlenurta, lateribus subrectis, apice obtuso, sutura impressa. Anfr. 7, convexi, duo superiores lavigati: ultimus aperturam versus subascendens. Apertura verticalis, oblique semiovalis, lamellâ validà bicruri intrunte purietuli, aliâ columellari profunda, dentibusque tribus, uno tuberculiformi columellari, alio magno lamelliformi transverso basali latus dextrum versus, tertio minore in margine dextro, coarctata. Peristoma album, expansum, juxtı anfractum penultimum sinuatum, marginibus callo lamellifero junctis. Long. 5, diam. vix 2, ap. long. $1 \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{~mm}$.

Hab, Apud Pykara in summos montes 'Nilgiri' dictos Indiæ meridionalis.

Shell flexuously rimate, subcylindrically turreted, translucent, polished, yellowish white, closely sculptured, except on the apical whorls, with fine hair-like vertical costulation. Spire turreted, elongate, diminishing very slowly in thickness upwards, the sides nearly straight, the apex blunt and rounded, the suture impressed. Whorls 7, convex, the first two smooth,
the last ascending very slightly near the aperture. Aperture vertical, semioval, obliquely truncated above, and very much contracted by teeth, consisting of a strong re-entering bifid parictal* plait on the callus connecting the margins of the peristome, an internal re-entering columellar lamina, commencing at a distance within the mouth, and three teeth-one, more or less tubercular, on the left or columellar side, a second tubercular tooth on the right margin, opposite the parietal plait, and with it nearly cuting off the posterior corner of the aperture, and a third, broad, lamelliform, and transverse (parallel to the plane of the mouth) on the right side of the basal margin. Peristome white, expanded throughout, curved a little back near the angle, where it meets the penultimate whorl, the margins united by a callus bearing the parietal lamella. Length 0.21, diameter 0.075 , length of aperture 0.05 inch.

I obtained several specimens of this shell near Pykara, on the Nilgiri hills of Southern India, in 1858, and for a long time supposed it to be $E$. pirriei of Pfeiffer, $\dagger$ but I noticed it as a distinct form when describing E. sculpta (J. A. S. B., 1869, xxxviii, pt. 2, p. 141), and mentioned some of its peculiarities. E. macrodon is distinguished not only from $E$ pirriei, but also from all other Indian species of the genus, by its strong basal transverse lamelliform tooth. This character serves to distinguish the two species at all ages ; for in the present species, as in E. sculpta, E. pirriei, and, doubtless, in the two forms (E. exilis and E. subcostulata) described below, the apertural teeth, and especially the parietal lamella, are well developed in immature shells even before all the whorls are completed. E. macrodon, too, is only half the size of $E$. pirriei, and there appear to be several slight differences in form, sculpture, and dentition.

## 19. Ennea subcostulata, sp. nov., Plate II, Fig, 14 (upper).

Testa arcuato-rimata, subcylindrico-turrita, diaphana, nitida, cereoalbild, confertim subobsolete costulata. Spira parum attenuata, lateribus convexiusculis, apice obtuso, suturâ impressâ. Anfi. $7 \frac{1}{2}$, convexiusculi, ultimus antice breviter ascendens. Apertura verticalis, oblique semiovalis, lamellâ validâ intrante bicruri, flexuosa, parietali juxta angulum, aliâ profunda columellari, et quatuor dentibus, uno columellari, duobus basalibus, quarto dextrali plice parietali opposito, coarctata. Peristoma expansum, albidum, juxta anfractum penultimum sinuatum, marginibus callo lamellifero junctis. Long. diam. 2, ap. long. 1六mm.

[^5]Hab. In montibus 'Shevrai' vel 'Shevroy' dictis, haud procul ab urbe Salem, Indiæ meridionalis (H. Beddome).

Shell arcuately rimate, subcylindrically turreted, translucent yellowish, white, finely and somewhat indistinctly ribbed. Spire turreted, elongate, becoming rather smaller above, with the sides rather convex, the apex blunt, and the suture impressed. Whorls $7 \frac{1}{2}$, moderately convex ; the last whorl ascending slightly close to the mouth. Aperture vertical, semioval, obliquely truncated, with a strong re-entering parietal plait, bifid and flexuous within, near the posterior angle, a columellar lamina at a distance within the mouth, and four tubercular teeth-one columellar, two basal, and the fourth inside the right margin opposite to the parietal plait, so as partly to cut off the upper (posterior) portion of the mouth. Peristome white, expanded, except near the junction with the last whorl, where the edge is curved back somewhat; margins united by a callus, on which is the parietal plait. Length 0.22 , diam. 0.075 , length of aperture (including peristome) 05 inch.

I have received from Col. Beddome three specimens of this species, two of which are evidently immature ; the third I believe to be full-grown, but the peristome may perhaps be more fully expanded in older examples,
E. subcostulatc is allied to E. pirriei, E. sculpta, E. macrodon, and their allies, but is distinguished from all by sculpture and the form of the teeth in the mouth. It was, I believe, this species which was erroneously quoted as E. pirriei from the Shevroy hills (J A. S. B., 1861, xxx. p. 364).

## 20. Ennea exilis, sp. nov., Plate II, Fig. 14 (lower).

Testa rimata, subcylindrico-turrita, diaphana, lavigata, nitidula, albi-do-cerea. Spira clongata, sursum vix attenuata, lateribus apicem versus convexis, apice obtuso, sutura parum impressâ. Anfi. 6 $6_{2}^{1}-7$, convexiusculi, ultimus antice subascendens. Apertura fere verticalis, oblique semiovalis, lamellâ validâ intrante bicruri parietali, aliâ prof $u n d a ̂ d u m e l l a r i ~ s p i r a l i, ~$ dentibusque quatuor, uno columellari, duobus basalibus quasijunctis, quartoque minore in margine dextro, coarctata. Peristoma expansum, albidum, postice juxta angulum sinuatum, marginibus callo lamellifero junctis. Long. $4 \frac{1}{2}$, diam. $1 \frac{1}{2}$, ap. long. 1 mm .

Hab. In montibus 'Balarangam' dictis provincir Mysore in India meridionali (H. Beddome).

Shell rimate, subcylindrically turreted, translucent, smooth, polished, yellowish white. Spire turreted, elongate, diminishing very slowly indeed below, but more rapidly above, where the sides are convex, apex blunt,
suture slightly impressed. Whorls $6 \frac{1}{2} \cdot 7$, slightly convex, the last whorl ascending very little near the mouth. Aperture nearly vertical, semioval, obliquely truncated, with a strong re-entering bifid palatal plait on the callus uniting the margins of the peristome, a spiral columellar lamina commencing at a distance within the mouth, and four tubercular teeth just inside the peristome*-one columellar, two joined together at their base, at the lowest part of the aperture, and one, very small, inside the right margin and opposite to the large parietal plait. Peristome white, slightly expanded, except near the junction with the last whorl, where the margin is slightly curved back. Length $0 \cdot 18$, diameter $0 \cdot 06$, length of aperture 004 inch.

This form, of which I have received four specimens from Col. Beddome, is distinguisbed from its allies by being quite smooth. As in the case of some of the allied forms, it is not improbable that in old specimens the peristome may be more broadly expanded and the palatal teeth maybecome more or less obsolete.

## 21. Ennea stenostoma, Bedd. MS., Plate II, Fig. 17.

Testa longe profundeque rimata, pupiformis, cylindraceo-ovata, solidula, lavigata (forsan aliquando oblique striata), impolita, haud nitida, albida. Spira subcylindrica, lateribus convexiusculis, apice rotundato, obtuso, sutura impressâ. Anfr. $6_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}$, convexi, quatuor penultimi subæquales; ultimus post aperturam valde compressus, haud ascendens, capillaceo-striatus, lateribus ambobus juxta peristoma scrobicutis impressis constrictus. Apertura verticalis, subaxialis, non lateralis, suboblonga, altior quan lata, marginibus lateralibus concaviusculis, basali convexo, dentibus valde coarctata, plicâ unâ validâ simplici intrante parietali juxta angulum, tuberculis duobus columellaribus, uno superiore profundo, alio majore inferiove in peristomate, duobus minoribus basalibus, uno dextrali, alio sinistrali, uno denique majore bifulo in margine dextro, plice parietali opposito sed inferiore, munita. Peristoma album, reflexum, postice simuatum, marginibus callo lamellifero junctis. Long. $3 \frac{1}{2}$, diam. $1 \frac{1}{2}$, ap. long. $1 \frac{1}{4}$ mm.

Hab. In montibus 'Golconda' dictis, haud procul ab urbe Vizagapatam (H. Beddome).

Var. minor, anfractibus $5 \frac{1}{2}$; long. 3, diam. $1 \frac{1}{2}$, ap. long. $1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~mm}$. (Pl. II, Fig. 16.)
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{AB}}$. In montibus band procul ab urbe Karnul (Kurnool) Indiæ meridionalis, (H. Beddome).

* None of the teeth are well represented in the figure.

Shell with a long deep groove at the base, pupiform or cylindrically ovate, rather thick, smooth (perhaps sometimes obliquely striated), dull, destitute of polish, whitish. Spire subcylindrical, with the sides slightly convex, the apex blunt and rounded, and the suture impressed. Whorls $6 \frac{1}{2}$, convex, the four behind the last whorl subequal, the penultimate being scarcely smaller; the last strongly compressed bebind the aperture, with raised hair-like lines of sculpture, not ascending, deeply indented on both sides. Aperture* vertical, nearly in the axis of the shell, not lateral, nearly oblong in shape, higher than broad, both the right and left margins slightly concave, lower margin convex. Teeth in the mouth numerous, and consisting of the simple $\dagger$ strong re-entering parietal fold near the posterior angle, two columellar tubercles (the upper and smaller situated at some depth inside the mouth, the smaller and larger in front close to the lip), two small basal teeth right and left of the lowest portion of the mouth, and one large bifid tooth on the right margin nearly opposite to the parietal fold, but not very close to it, and rather inferior to it in position. Peristome white, expanded throughout, curved back near the posterior angle, the margins united by a thick callus, on which the parietal lamina is situated. Length $0 \cdot 14$, diameter 0.06 , length of aperture 0.05 inch.

The typical form was obtained in the Golcorda hills near Vizagapatam, and the single specimen sent to me by Col. Beddome, from which the accompanying figure was taken, was broken after being drawn. The description is from a specimen in the British Museum.

A smaller variety with $5 \frac{1}{2}$ whorls, and measuring 0.12 inch in length, 0.06 in diameter, and 0.37 in length of aperture, was procured by the same naturalist in the hills near Kurnool.

I have received three specimens of this variety from Colonel Beddome, and there are others in the British Museum. All have the same dull weathered appearance, though they look fairly fresh; but on one there appear what may be traces of sculpture, apparently striæ similar to the fine raised lines occurring on the last whorl near the aperture in all.

I am not acquainted with any species of Ennea nearly allied to this species. In form, the Sikkim and Khasi $E$. stenopylis shows some resemblance; but that shell is strongly costulate, and its curious aperture, with the posterior portion almost cut off and forming a semi-detached tube, shows the species to be merely an ovate form of the Himalayan and Burmese group, comprising $E$. vara, $E$. blanfordiana, and $E$. cylindrelloidea.

[^6]
## 22. Ennea beddomer, sp. nov.

Testa rimata, subcylindraceo-turrits, cereo-albida, nitida, confertim verticaliter costulata, costulis in anfractu ultimo plus minusve obsoletis. Spira elongata, sursum attenuata, apice obtuso, suturâ impressâ. Anfr. 6, convexi, ultimus antice ad aperturam vix ascendens. Apertura fere verticalis, semielliptica, lamellis duobus validis parietalibus, unâ anteriore dextrali intrante intus tortâ, aliâ profundâ sinistrali subcolumellari incurvâ, dentibusque lamelliformibus minoribus duobus vel tribus profundis palatalibus coarctata. Peristoma albidum, expansum, postice juxta angulum leviter sinuatum, marginibus callo lamellifero junctis. Long. $3 \frac{2}{3}$, diam. $1 \frac{1}{3}$, ap. long. $\frac{2}{3} \mathrm{~mm}$.

Hab. In montibus 'Sivagiri' dictis (Tinnevelley) Indiæ meridionalis (H. Beddome).

Shell rimate, subcylindrically turreted, pale yellowish white, polished, with close vertical ribbing on all the whorls, the ribs being more or less flattened and obsolete on the last. Spire elongate, becoming more slender above, apex blunt, suture impressed. Whorls 6, convex, the last scarcely ascending in front at the mouth. Aperture nearly vertical, semi-elliptical, with two strong re-entering parietal lamellæ-one of them in front to the right near the angle of the mouth, slightly twisted inside, the other to the left near the columellar margin, commencing at a distance within the mouth, and curved; there are also two or three small depressed lamelliform palatal teeth; but they are seen with difficulty from the front. Peristome white, expanded, the margins united by a callus bearing the parietal folds, the right margin curved back near the angle. Length 0.15 , diam. 0.0 , length of aperture 0.025 inch.

I have named this shell after the discoverer instead of adopting the term he had given to it in MS., as the latter might be objected to and changed. I have no specimen myself at present, but there are four in the British Museum. The form is peculiarly distinguished by the absence of any teeth in the peristome itself, although there are two or three at a little distance inside the aperture, and two folds on the callus joining the margins of the lip. In general form there is some resemblance to E. exilis.

## 23. Ennea canarica, Beddome, MS.

Testa rimata, turita, albida, solidula, confertim verticaliter costata. Spira subregulariter attenuata, apice obtuso, suturâ profundiusculd. Anfr. $5 \frac{1}{8}$, convexi, infia saturam inflati, gradatim crescentes, ultimus antice vix ascendens. Apertura subrotunda, superne truncata, lamellâ valilâ parietali intrante sublortâ, partem posteriorem aperturae fere discernente, aliâque
columellari profundd, vix in fauce conspicud, coarctata; dentibus palatalibus in peristomate nullis. Peristoma continuum, longe adnatum, album, incrassato-patens, undique expansum, intus granulatum, margine columellari angulatim incisum, basali lato, dextrali intus juxta lamellam parietalem breviter projiciente, angulum versus leviter retro-sinuatum. Long. $3 \frac{3}{1}$, diam. 2, ap. intus $\frac{2}{3}$ mm. alta.

Hab. In provincia 'South Canara' ad latus occidentale Indiæ meridionalis (H. Beddome).

Shell rimate, turreted, white (fresher specimens are probably yellowish white and polished), all the whorls ornamented with close vertical ribs. Spire almost regularly attenuate, apex blunt, suture rather deep. Whorls $6 \frac{1}{2}$, conves, swollen, and projecting beneath the suture, increasing in size by degrees, the last not ascending near the mouth. Aperture nearly round, except above, with one strongly developed parietal lamella, commencing in the front and re-entering deeply, a little twisted within, and so large as almost to cut off the upper left or posterior portion of the aperture; another smaller, deep-seated columellar fold is scarcely discernible from the mouth; no palatal teeth. Peristome continuous, attached for a considerable distance to the last whorl, white, thickened, broadly expanded, granulate inside ; the columellar margin with an angular incision, the basal margin broader than the others, right margin curved back near the angle, and having a blunt projecting tooth-like process inside, opposite the parietal fold. Length $0 \cdot 15$, diameter $0 \cdot 08$, length of aperture within 0.025 inch.

The above description is taken from the only specimen I have ever seen, which is in the British Museum. The shell is remarkable for its peculiarly shaped whorls, each of which is suddenly swollen below the suture, so as to give almost a step-like appearance to the spire. The rounded mouth, too, with the broadly expanded peristome is quite different from that of any other Indian form of the genus. Perhaps the Khasi-Hill Ennea vara is as closely connected as any of the South-Indian forms, though there is but little resemblance between it and the present species, except such as is due to both being strongly ribbed, and to the manner in which the posterior or upper right-hand corner of the mouth is almost isolated by the strong parietal lamella and a projection from the inner margin of the peristome.

## 24. Helit calpis.

Bens., Ann. \& Mag. Nat. Hist. scr. 3, vol. iii, p. 268.-Pfr., Mon. Hel. v. p. 64.Hanley, Conch. Ind. pl. xvi. fig. 8.

Macrochlamys calpis, Theohald, Cat. Land Freshwater Shells Brit. Ind. p. 19.
${ }^{2}$ Nanina (Microcgstis) calpis, Nevill, Hand-list Moll. Ind. Mus. pt. i. p. 38.

This species was described from specimens collected by myself in 1856. I had but an imperfect knowledge of land mollusks at the time, or I should, I think, bave seen at once, as I did some years afterwards, when re-examining my collections, that the shells were all young specimens of Raphaulus (Streptaulus) blanfordi. I had altogether a considerable number of specimens of the supposed Helix calpis; of these four were sent to England, and were examined by Mr. Benson ; and it is manifest, from his description, that there was no difference between his examples and mine. In some of the latter I found the operculum still remaining.

In Mr. Nevill's Hand-list of Mollusea in the Indian Museum, Calcutta (l. c.), specimens of Nanina calpis from the Nága and Khási hills are included. Streptaulus blanfordi has been found in Sikkim, and in the Dafla hills, east of Bhutan ; and I learn from Col. Godwin-Austen that he obtained a specimen from Brahmakúnd at the head of the Assam valley; but, as no example of the shell is known to have been found in the hillranges south of Assam, I think the specimens in the Indian Museum must be something different from the form described as Helix calpis by Mr. Benson.
25. Spiraculum tratancoricum, Beddome, MS., Plate III, Fig. 6.

Testa late umbilicata, depresso-turbinata, in exemplo vetusto adthe detecto lavis, albescens (junior forsan epidermide induta, colorataque), Spirct elevata, depresso-conica, suturâ profindd, apice acuto. Anfr. 4 $\frac{1}{4}$, rotundati, ultimus cylindraceus, aperturam versus descendens atque breviter solutus, 3 mill. pone aperturam tubulo longiusculo antrorsum directo, anfractum penultimum tangente, munitus. Apertura diagonalis, circularis; peristoma duplex, internum breviter porrectum, superne sinistrorsum leviter sinuat,um, externum expansum, atque, nisi ad marginem sinistrum, undulatum. Opereulum extus fere plunum, marginibus anfiactuum exteriorum liberis, intus concavum. Diam. maj. 121 $\frac{1}{2}$, min. $10 \frac{1}{2}$, axis 7, diam. apert. $5 \frac{1}{2}$ mill.

Hab. In montibus Travancoricis haud procul a Tinnevelly ( $H$. Beddome).

Shell broadly umbilicate, depressedly turbinate, and, in the single aged specimen found, decorticated, whitish and smooth throughout. Traces of a brown epidermis remain around the umbilicus, and younger specimens are ןrobably brown in colour, and perhaps ornamented with coloured bands, like other species of the genus. Spire raised, depressedly conical, suture deep, apex acute. Whorls $4_{2}^{1}$, rounded ; the last cylindrical, descending, and free near the aperture, and provided above, about three milinnetres behind the mouth, with a rather elongate tube, which projects forward, and is in
contact with the penultimate whorl throughout. The tube appears broken at the end, and may have been even longer originally; the anterior termination in the specimen is in a line with the oblique peristome of the shell. Aperture diagonal, circular ; peristome double, inner lip sharp, not projecting much, curved backwards near the penultimate whorl ; outer peristome expanded, and wavy above externilly and below, straight and somewhat narrower on the left margin. Operculum nearly flat externally, concave within; the outer margins of the whorls free and lamellar, except towards the middle; the circumference surrounded by several fine raised lines, the edges of the outermost whorls. Major diameter 0.5 inch, minor 0.42 , axis 0.3 , diameter of the mouth $0 \cdot 23$.

This species differs from all others of the genus by its higher spire, and by the combination of the mouth being free and the sutural tube being directed forwards and attached to the last whorl. The solitary specimen obtained was procured at a considerable elevation, 4000 or 5000 feet, in the hills between Travancore and Tinnevelly, not far from Cape Comorin.

## 26. Cataulus costulatus, sp. nov., Plate III, Fig. 7.

Testa subperforata, subovato-turrita, solida, subsinuate costulata, pallide straminea. Spira convexo-turrita, apice obtusiusculo, suturâ valde impressa. Anfr. 77 $\frac{1}{2}$, convexi, ultimus arctius convolutus, antice porrectus fere solutus, carinâ basali validâ, compressâ, costulatâ, antice dilatatâ munitus; periomphalo medioori, costulato. Apertura subcircularis, fere verticalis, canali ad latus sinistrum marginis basalis patente, ore subobliquo, subtus spectante. Peristoma album, incrassato-expansum, revolutum, postice dextrorsum atque antice sinistrorsum ad canalem basalem productum, margine columellari angustiore, cuma anfractu penultimo breviter juncto. Long. 16, diam. (perist. incl.) 5, diam. min. $5 \frac{1}{2}$, apert. intus 3 mm .
$H_{A B}$. In montibus 'Tinnevelly Ghats' dictis Indiæ meridionalis, (H. Beddome).

Shell subperforate, subovately turreted, solid, rather coarsely and subsinuately costulated, of a pale straw-colour. Spire turreted, with convex sides, aper rather obtuse, sutures well impressed. Whorls $7 \frac{1}{2}$, convex, the last more closely wound than the penultimate, to which it is scarcely attached just behind the mouth ; the basal keel compressed, costulate, dilated in front; the space inside the keel and around the umbilicus is of moderate size and ribbed. Aperture nearly circular and subvertical, with the opening of the basal canal on the left side of the base, and not quite in the same plane as the aperture, but turned rather downwards. Peristome white, thickened, expanded, and turned back, produced above to the right of the penultimate whorl and below around the canal, narrow on the columellar margin, and
only united for a short distance with the penultimate whorl. Length 0.65 inch, breadth (including the peristome) 0.25 , minor diameter from front to back $0 \cdot 23$, width of aperture inside $0 \cdot 13$.

This species of Cataulus, the third hitherto obtained from the hills of Southern India, is distinguished from all other known forms of the genus by its comparatively coarse ribbing across the whorls. In other respects, it closely approaches C. calcadensis, Bedd. (J. A. S. B , 1869, xxxviii. pt. 2, p. 137, pl. xvi. fig. 8), having a similarly shaped spire, aperture, and basal channel. I have only seen one specimen of C. costulatus; this differs from $C$. calcadensis not only in having stronger sculpture, but also in being rather shorter and in having one whorl less in the spire. The colour of C. costulatus also is paler than that of the Calcad shell, and the lip of the aperture is white.

Like the other Southern-Indian forms, C. calcadensis, C. recurvatus, and the species hereafter described, $C$. costulatus has the canal a little to the left of the lowest portion of the aperture, or nearer to the umbilicus than to the outer margin. In most Ceylonese species of the genus, the canal is nearly at the lowest portion of the mouth.* I find that in C. tortuosus the position of the canal is precisely as in $C$. calcadensis and $C$. costulatus (in $C$. recurvatus, the sinistral position of the canal is much more marked).

## 27. Catauluts albescens, sp. nov.

Testa subperforata, subovato-turrita, tenuiuscula, albido-cornea, subsinuate costulato-striata. Spira turrita, lateribus convexis, apice obtusiusculo, suturd valde impressâ. Anfr. 7, convexi, ultimus aretius convolutus, antice porrectus, fere solutus, vix descendens, carina basali transversim striatâ, postice obsoletâ, antice validâ, juxta aperturam dilatatâ munitus; periomphalo mediocri, plicato-striato. Apertura subcircularis, fere verticalis, canali ad latus sinistrum marginis basalis patente, ore antice spectante. Peristoma album, incrassato-reflexum, postice et ad canalem basalem productum, margine columellari angustiore, cum anfiactu penultimo breviter junctum. Long. 13, diam. maj. $5 \frac{1}{2}$, min. $4 \frac{1}{2}$, apert. diam. intus vix 3 mm .

Hab. In montibus Travancoricis Laud procul ab urbe Trevandrum.

Shell subperforate, subovately turreted, rather thin, whitish horny, rather sinnately and costulately striated. Spire turreted, with the sides convex, apex obtuse, suture much impressed. Whorls 7, convex, the last more closely wound than the penultimate, to which it is but slightly attached just behind the mouth. Basal keel transversely striated, subobsolete on the body-whorl near the junction of the peristome, becoming stronger in

* It is slightly to the left in C. pyramidatus, C. eurytrema, and C. austenanus; basal in the smaller forms, like $C$. templemami and $C$. layardi.
front and dilated near the mouth; the space inside the keel and around the umbilicus is of moderate size and plicately striated. Aperture nearly circular and subvertical, with the opening of the basal canal to the left of the base, and in nearly the same plane as the aperture. Peristome white, thickened, expanded and turned back, produced slightly above to the right of the penultimate whorl, and to a greater extent below at the mouth of the canal ; columellar margin a little narrower, joined for a short distance only to the penultimate whorl. Length 0.53 , major diameter 0.22 , minor 0.18 ; breadth of the aperture within 0.12 inch.

This is the smallest form yet obtained of the peculiar group of Sou-thern-Indian Catauli. I received three specimens some years ago from Mr. Theobald, who supposed them to be C. calcadensis. Mr. Theobald, I believe, procured them from Mr. F. W. Bourdillon, who obtained them near Mynall, on the hills east of Trevandrum. This shell is, I think, mentioned as Cataulus calcadensis by Mr. Theobald in his description of Mr. Bourdillon's shells (J. A. S. B., 1876, xlv. p. 185). The present species, however, has one whorl less, and is a much smaller shell, with proportionately shorter whorls, the sculpture is less close and distinct, the colour whitish instead of golden brown, the basal keel less developed, and its opening is in the same plane as the aperture, instead of being turned downwards, \&c. From C. costulatus, the present form is chiefly distinguished by its much finer sculpture and by the characters of the basal keel.

## 28. Cataulus calcadensis.

The original specimens of this species described by me in 1869 (J. A. S. B., xxxviii. pt. 2, p. 137) were bleached and chalky. Subsequently, Col. Beddome, who discovered and named this very interesting form of Cataulus, procured fresh living specimens of a golden-brown colour, with the aperture of the same tint as the shell.* The peristome in these specimens is not free from the last whorl. The operculum is normal, and precisely similar to that of Ceylonese species of the genus.

The specimens described by Mx. Theobald as Hapalus travankoricust are, I am satisfied, immature shells, and I believe them to be the young of this, of $C$. albescens, or of some nearly allied species of Cutaulus. Mr. Theobald states that the types of his supposed Hapalus differ from the young of Cataulus calcadensis, i. e., C. albescens, but be omits to point out the distinction. I had an opportunity of examining the types, which were

[^7]shown to me by Mr. Theobald, and I told him my views on the subject, but he did not agree with me.

I have recently examined the specimen of $C$. tortuosus (two in number) at the British Museum, and find the views I expressed several years since (J. A. S. B., 1869, xxxviii. pt. 2, p. 138) as to its alliance to C. calcadensis fully confirmed. In form, C. tortuosus, C. calcadensis, C. costulatus, and C. albescens are closely allied, all being much more ovate than any of the other species of the genus. The sculpture on $C$. tortuosus is much finer than on C. calcadensis, or even than on $C$. albescens. The discovery of two additional forms of this section of the genus in the hills of Southern India, and the absence of the genus from the collections hitherto made in the Nicobar Islands, tend to support the probability that C. tortuosus is also in reality a Southern-Indian form. Not a single Catautus has bitherto been discovered in the Andaman Islands, in any of the countries to the east of the Bay of Bengal, or in the Malay Islands, so that the existence of the genus in the Nicobar Islands is extremely improbable.
29. Realia (Omphalotropis) andersoni, sp, nov., Plate II, Fig. 18.

Testa perforata, ovato-conica, tenuiuscula, rufescenti-fulva, lavigata, parum nitida, oblique striatula. Spira conica, lateribus subrectis, apice acuto, suturâ leviter impressâ. Anfr. 7, planiusculi; ultimus ad peripheriam capillaceo-carinatus, subtus convexus, lavigatus, radiatim striatulus, carinâ circumumbilicari obtusâ, fere obsoletâ instructus. Apertura ovata, obliqua, fere diagonalis, spiram altitudine haud aquans. Peristoma obtusum, marginibus subconniventibus, callo tenui junctis, externo recto, basali expansiusculo, columellari subtus expanso, juxta perforationem emarginato, angulatim inciso. Operc.? Long. 7, diam. vix 5; ap. long. $3 \frac{1}{4}$, lat. $2 \frac{3}{4} \mathrm{~mm}$.

Hab. In insulis Andamanicis ( $J$. Anderson).
Shell perforate, ovately conical, thin, reddish brown in colour, smooth, not polished, obliquely striated. Spire conical, with sides nearly straight, apex acute, suture slightly impressed. Whorls 7, rather flat; the last with a hair-like keel at the periphery (the keel sometimes appearing on the apper whorls just above the suture), convex, smooth, and radiately striated below, and furnished with an obtuse, subobsolete keel around the umbilicus, the space inside the umbilical keel being smooth, not ribbed. Aperture ovate, oblique, nearly diagonal, a little shorter than the spire. Peristome obtuse, the margins approaching each other, and joined by a thin callus; outer edge straight, basal expanded, columellar expanded below, but emarginate and cut away into a re-entering angle near the perforation. Length 0.29 , diameter 0.19 ; length of aperture 0.13 , breadth 0.11 inch.

This species closely resembles $R$. (O.) rubens of Mauritius in form, but differs in sculpture, the shape of the whorls, \&c. The umbilical keel is but faintly marked. Several specimens were procured about ten years ago by Dr. J. Anderson, Superintendent of the Indian Museum, to whom I am indebted for the types. They were obtained, I believe, at some distance from the coast.

## 30. Realia pallida, sp. nov., Plate II, Fig. 19.

Testa perforata, ovato-conica, tenuis, albido-cornea, lavigata, nitidula, vix verticaliter striatula. Spira conica, apice acuto, suturâ impressa. Anfr. 6, convexiusculi; ultimus ad peripheriam atque subtus rotundatus, circa perforationem radiatim striatus. Apertura fere verticalis, ovata, spiram altitudine haud equans. Peristoma tenue, marginibus subcomniventibus, callo tenui junctis, externo recto, columellari expansiusculo. Operc.? Long. $4 \frac{1}{4}$, diam. 3 ; ap. long vix 2, lat. $1 \frac{1}{2}$ mm.
$\mathrm{H}_{\text {ab. }}$ In insulis Andamanicis cum præcedente ( $J$. Anderson).
Shell perforate, ovately conical, thin, whitish horny, smooth, moderately polished, with faint subobsolete vertical striation. (There is also, beneath the lens, a faint indication of minute spiral striation, but I am not sure that this is not an individual peculiarity.) Spire conical, apex acute, suture impressed. Whorls 6 , slightly convex, the last rounded at the periphery and below, radiately striated around the perforation. Aperture nearly vertical, ovate, shorter than spire. Peristome thin, margins approaching each other, joined by a thin callus; the outer lip simple, the columellar slightly expanded. Length 0.17 , diameter 0.12 , length of aperture 0.075 , breadth 0.06 inch.

I have but a single specimen of this species, which wants both the keels of the last species, and differs besides in size, colour, and sculpture. The specimen is perhaps not quite adult, but there can, I think, be no question of its being a peculiar form.

Neither of the two species above described can be confounded with the globose R. (O.) distermina (Benson, Ann. \& Mag. N. H. Dec. 1863 ; Pfeif., Mon. Pneum. Suppl. ii. p. 178) with its costulate striation near the suture and inside the umbilicus, its rounded whorls, and its aperture equal in length to the spire. A glance at the figure of this shell in the 'Conchologia Indica,' pl. clev. fig. 10, will suffice to show how different it is from either $R$. andersoni or $R$. pallida. Even if, as is possible, Benson's type was a young shell, it was manifestly a very distinct species, and the adult would probably resemble Realia (Omphalotropis) globosa of Mauritius in shape.

## 31. Reatia decussata, sp. nov.

Testa perforata, ovato-conica, tenuiuscula, striis obliquis incrementi, aliisque spiralibus, minutis, sublente subtilissime decussata, in anfractibus superioribus, nisi duobus supremis, undique, atque in inferioribus at supra et infra suturam costulato-striata, pallille rufescenti-fulva, anfractu ultimo cingulo pallido circumdato. Spira conica, apice acuto, sutura impressaAnfr. 6, convexi ; ultimus ad peripheriam rotundatus, subtus convexus, radiatim striatus, in umbilico costulato-striatus, lined impressâ basali in loco carince circum umbilicum instructus. Apertura obliqua, rotundato-ovata, $\frac{3}{7}$ longitudinis subaquans. Peristoma tenue, marginibus subconniventibus, callo tenui junctis, externo basalique rectis, columellari subtus expansiusculo, juxto perforationem retrosinuato. Opere.? Long. $3 \frac{3}{4}$, diam. $2 \frac{3}{4}$; ap. long. $1 \frac{3}{\frac{3}{4}}$, lat. $1 \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~mm}$.

Hab. Cum precedentibus in insulis Andamanicis (J. Anderson).
Shell perforate, ovately conical, rather thin, finely marked with oblique strie of growth and minute decussating spiral lines (only visible beneath the lens), costulately striated on the upper whorls (except the two uppermost) and close to the suture on the lower whorls, pale rufescent brown, with a pale band round the body whorl. Spire conical, apes sharp, suture impressed. Whorls 6 , convex ; the last rounded at the periphery and below, radiately striated beneath, more strongly in the umbilicus, and having an impressed line at the base around the umbilicus in the place of a keel. Aperture oblique, oval, but little higher than broad, about $\frac{3}{7}$ of the length. Peristome thin, the margins approaching each other and united by a thin callus; the outer and basal edges simple, columellar margin slightly expanded below, curved back into a shallow re-entering sinus close to the perforation. Length 0.15 , diameter 0.11 ; length of aperture 0.07 , breadth 0.06 inch.

This shell is distinguished by its fine decussated striation. I have but a single specimen, received from Dr. J. Anderson, with the othors. Unfortunately no figure has been given, as I did not observe the distinction until after the accompanying plate had been drawn. Independently of sculpture, the species may be distinguished from $O$. distermina by its less globose form, and by the absence of the keel around the periphery; from R. andersoni by its much smaller size, more rounded whorls, and by the absence of the keel ; and from $R$. pallida by rounder whorls, by colour, and by its rather more turreted form.

There is thus evidence of four different forms of Realia in the Andaman Islands. Thie genus is absolutely unknown in either India or Burma, the species of Omphalotropis (O. aurantiaca) once reported from

Pondicherry being really from the island of Mauritius; * and it is uncertain that the forms reported from Cochin China, Siam, and Singapore are not Assiminea. It is remarkable that the genus is almost entirely insular in its known distribution, and that it is especially common in the Mascarene Islands and in Polynesia.
32. Palddonus tratancorica, Beldome, MS., Plate II, fig. 22.

Testa imperforata, ovato-conica, solidula, epidermide fusca induta, sub epidermide albida, fasciis fusco-purpureis flexuosis verticalibus ornata, costis spiralibus subconfertis ciroundata, interspatiis glabris, striis incrementi inconspicuis. Spira conica, subturrita, apice eroso, suturâ impressa. Anfr. superst. 3, convexi, ultimus dimidium teste superans. Apertura subverticalis, ovata, postice angulata, intus carulescenti-albida, strigis flexuosis confertis conspicuis. Peristoma rectum, margine externo acuto, columellari basalique albis, intus incrassatis, dilatatis. Operc. normale. Diam maj. 16, min. $13 \frac{1}{2}$, alt. 23 mm . (apice non eroso ad 25) ; apert. 12 mm . longa, 9 lata.

Hab. In Travancore (H. Beddome).
Shell imperforate, ovately conical, rather thick, corered with a darkbrown epidermis ; beneath the epidermis white, with narrow vertical, very wavy dark purple stripes; all the whorls spirally ribbed, the ribs rather close together, with the interspaces smooth, the strix of growth being inconspicuous. Spire conical, apex eroded (doubtless acute when perfect), suture impressed. Whorls remaining 3 (probably in the perfect shell 5 or 6), convex, the last exceeding half the length of the shell. Aperture nearly vertical, ovate, angulate at the posterior extremity, bluish white, with conspicuous, close, vertical, wavy, deep purple bands within; peristome in one plane, the external margin sharp, the columellar and basal margins white, thickened within, and dilated. Operculum normal. Major diameter 0.65 inch, minor 0.52 , height (apex wanting) 0.9 (when perfect about an inch); aperture 0.5 high, 0.36 broad.

In a young specimen of $P$. travancorica, there appears to be a tendency to the development of minor parallel ribs between those forming the spiral sculpture, and the latter are rather closer together near the suture.

* Sco Benson, A. MI. N. H. Sept. 1851, Ser. II, Vol. 8. p. 194.-Nevill, Handlist Moll. I. M. pt. i, p. 320. Hanley, Conch. Ind. Systematic list of Species, p. xiii, note 1, whilst pointing out that the species is not Indian, states that it occurs in the Isle of Bourbon. As he does not give his authority, the name of the island may have been inserted by mistake for that of Mauritius, but it is possible that tho form occurs, like O. rubens and two or three other species, in both islands.

This fine and well-marked from of Paludomus was procured by Colonel Beddome in streams traversing the plains between Trevandrum and the foot of the Aghastyamali hill.

So far as I am aware, none of the forms of true Paludomus hitherto described from Southern India and Ceylon have the marked spiral sulcation of the present species. There is, however, a remarkable resemblance to the Ceylonese Philopotamis sulcata, the shell of which is only distinguished by wanting the conspicuous coloured bands within the peristome, although the operculum is very different. Perhaps the nearest ally of $P$. travancorica is the Burmese $P$. regulata; but that is a less conical form, and differs both in sculpture and coloration, as may be seen by comparing the figure of the present species with that of $P$. regulata in the 'Conchologia Indica' (pl. cviii. fig. 5). In form, $P$. travancorica has some resemblance to the common P. tanjorica* (Helix tanshaurica, Gmelin, Syst. Nat. p. 3655).

## 33. Bythinia evezardi.

Testa anguste umbilicata, ovato-conica, solida, striis regularibus spiraliter circumdata, albido-comea, epidermide crassâ olivaceâ obtecta. Spira conica, apice eroso, suturâ valde impressâ. Anfr. superst. 3 (in testâ integrâ 4-5), convexi, ultimus dimidiam longitudinis subcquans, modice ventricosus, subtus circa umbilicum angulatim compressus, wmbilico conico, intus lavigato. Apertura subverticalis ovata, antice atque postice subangulata; peristoma simplex, rectum, obtusum. Operculum normale. Long. $3 \frac{3}{4}$, diam. maj. $3 \frac{1}{4}$, min. 2 mm ; apert. intus fere 2 longa, $1 \frac{1}{3}$ lata.

Hab. Ad Lanowlee (Lanaoli) juxta viam ferratum inter Bombay et Poona (G. Evezard).

Shell narrowly umbilicate, ovately conical, solid, surrounded by regular spiral impressed lines rather close together, whitish horny, covered with an olive epidermis. Spire conical, apex eroded, suture deeply impressed. Whorls remaining 3 (in a perfect shell about 4 to 5 ), rounded, the last about half the whole length, moderately rentricose, angulately compressed at the base around the umbilicus, which is conical and smooth inside. Aperture nearly vertical, oval, subangulate in front at the base and at the posterior extremity ; peristome simple, straight, obtuse ; operculum normal. Length 0.15 , major diameter 0.13 , minor 008 inch; aperture within 0.07 long, 0.05 broad.

This peculiar little species, distinguished by its distinct umbilicus from all other Indian forms, was obtained by Colonel G. Evezard at Lanaoli, a station on the railway from Bombay to Poona, situated a few miles east of Khandalla at the top of the Bor-ghat.

* I think it is to be regretted that Gmelin's spelling should be adopted for this species, as the derivation of the name is thereby rendered obscure.


## 35. Cremnoconchus fatrbanki.

"Cremnoconchus fairbanki, Blanford," Hanley, Conch. Ind. p. 58, pl. cxlvi, fig. 7.
I have described the species here attributed to me, and I greatly doubt my being responsible for the specific name, even in manuscript. I find amongst my collection a small box of C. carinatus, labelled C. fuirbanki, but I cannot recollect whence the name was derived. The shell figured in the 'Conchologia Indica' resembles Co. carinatus in form, but the angulation of the last whorl is not shewn, and the coloured bands represented are not, so far as I know, found in that species.

The shell figured in the same plate of the 'Conchologia Indica' (pl. cxlvi, fig. 10) as $C$. carinatus, is certainly not that species, but $O$. conicus, var. Some of the references in the letterpress, p. 58, to my descriptions and figures of Cremnoconchus (J. A. S. B. 1870, xxxix, pt. 2, pp. 10-12, pl. 3, figs. 3, 4, 5) are incorrect.

## 36. Corbicula iravadica.

" Cor. iravadica, Blanf. MSS." Hanley, Conch. Ind. p. 62, pl. clv, fig. 8.
Testa fere aquilateralis, rhomboideoovata, ventricosa, solidiuscula, concentrice striato atque costulis subremotis, interdum plus minusve obsoletis, omata, epidermide olivacê̂ induta, intus violacea: latere antico ante ambones prominentes subtiorizontali, tune fere regulariter convexo, postico declivi, oblique subtrunatulo, demum subangulato, margine ventrali modice arcunto; ligamento postice subito contracto. Lat. $10_{2}^{1}$ mm., long. 9, crass. 7. In alio exemplo long. $11 \frac{1}{2}$, lat. $8 \frac{1}{2}$, crass. 7.

Hab. Ad Mandelay, urbem capitalem regni Avæ.
Shell nearly æquivalve, rhomboidally ovate, ventricose, thickish, concentrically striated and ornamented with ribs rather wide apart often more or less obsolete. The colour of the epidermis is olive, that of the shell inside violet. Anterior side nearly horizontal in front of the prominent umbones, then almost regularly convex, the posterior side slopes away gently at first, then sharply, almost as if truncated, and forms a rounded angle with the ventral margin, which is gently arcuate. The ligament behind is suddenly contracted and compressed, the hindermost portion, about a quarter of the length being very much smaller than the rest.

Dimensions of one specimen:-length 0.42 inch, breadth from umbones to ventral margin 0.36 , thickness 0.28 ; of another much longer shell, the same measurements are $0.46,0.34$, and 0.28 inch.

It is very possible that this may not be separable from some of the numerous other forms of the genus, but I can find none precisely agreeing. The form is more ventricose and the umbones more prominent than in most

Indian Corbiculce. The genus, like Unio, appears to have been designed by a beneficent Providence for the amusement of species-makers. Many of the described local races in all probability pass more or less into each other.

## Explanation of the Plates.

Plate II.
Fig. 2. Euplecta vidua, var. minor, natural size.
" 4. This shell has not been described, the type having been mislaid, and one figure, that shewing the shell from the mouth, omitted in the plate.
" 5. Euplecta vidua, typical form, natural size.
" 8. Macrochlamys temuicula, two views, natural size. In the left hand figure one whorl too many is represented, and in the right hand figure the peristome is represented as thick instead of very thin.
9. Macrochlamys platychlamys, two views, natural size. In the right hand view the lip should have been represented as very thin.
10. Streptaxis personatus, three views, enlarged two diameters, fair.
11. Streptaxis concinnuss, three views, enlarged two diameters, teeth rather indistinct, otherwise good.
12. Streptaxis pronus, three views, enlarged two diameters, teeth not correctly represented ; see description.
13. Streptaxis compressus, three views, enlarged four diameters; the teeth are incorrect, especially in the middle figure, where three are represented on the basal margin of the aperture instead of one only.
14. (Upper figure) Enneac subcostulata, enlarged four diameters. The columellar tooth should be lower down.
14. (Lower figure) Ennea exilis, enlarged four diameters. All the teeth are wrongly represented ; see description.
15. Ennea macrodon, enlarged four diameters. The teeth in the peristome are not distinct in the figure, and the large tooth inside the base is omitted altogether.
16. Ennea stenostoma, var., enlarged four diameters. Teeth not correct, they should be precisely the same as in fig. 17.
17. Ennea stenostoma, typical form, enlarged four diameters. The mouth too broad, it should be of the same shape as in fig. 16. The teeth are correct.
18. Realia (Omphatotropis) andersoni, enlarged two diameters: fair figure.
19. Realia pallide, enlarged two diameters, not good, the penultimate whorl is by far too large, and the suture wrongly drawn.
22. Paludomus travaneorica, natural size, good figure.
N. B. As already noticed in the text, several of the figures in this plate are unsatisfactory. In especial, the teeth in the aperture of some forms of Ennea and Streptaxis are by no means accurately represented. The plate haring been twice lithographed, it appears hopeless at present to try to obtain greater accuracy. The general form of the shells is as a rale correct. The imperfection of the plate is partly due to its having been lithographed during the absence of the author of the present paper.

## Plate III.

Fig. 1. Hemiplecta tinostoma.
" 2. Hemiplecta enisa.
" 3. Xestina albata.
," 4. Ariopharda immerito.
", 5. Macrochlanys wymei.
" 6. Spiraculum travancoricum.
" 7. Catarturs costullutirs.
N. B. The figures on this plate are all fairly good; all are of the natural size except 76 .




[^0]:    * These appear, however, to deserve distinction from true Rotula, see after.
    + See Stoliczka, J. A. S. B., 1871, xl, pt. 2, p. 47.
    $\ddagger$ Albers Heliceen, 2te Ausgabe, p. 46, where the synonymy is fully discussed,

[^1]:    * Gen. Rec. Mollusca, ii, p. 221.
    + E. g. in Macrochlamys, somo forms of which at least have the central tract nar. rower than the lateral.
    $\ddagger$ Amongst the localitics given in the 'Hand-list of Mollusea in the Indian Muscum,' part i. p. 19, is Singhar. This cannot be Sinhgarh near Poona, in the Deccan.

[^2]:    * Reisen im Archipel der Philippinen, 2te theil, Wis. Res. val. iii, p. 14.
    $\dagger$ Cat. p. 20.
    $\ddagger$ Hand-list, p. 34.
    § Sce, for description of the animal and odontophore of Situla (or Conulena, which is the same), Stoliczka, J. A. S. B., 1871, vol. xl, pt. 2, p. 236.

[^3]:    * J. A. S. B., 1871, xl, pt. 2, p. 231; 1873, xlii, pt. 2, p. 14.
    † 1. c. pp. 28, 29, 30, \&c.
    $\ddagger$ I find this short note on specimens of this species obtained in upper Burma in 1861:-Animal of the vitrinoides type, but the projecting lobe (i.e., that alove the caudal gland) is small.

[^4]:    * Novill, 'Scientific Results of the Second Yarkand Mission,' Mollusca, p. 17.

[^5]:    * For the meaning of the terms palatal, parietal, and columellar, applied to tecth within the mouth, see Pfeiffer, Mon. Hel. ii, p. 300, note.
    + It was quoted as that shell, J. A. S. B., 1860, xxix, p. 126, and 1861, xxx, p. 364 .

[^6]:    * It is too broad in figure 17, and the shape is incorrect. The teeth, however, are nearly correct.
    + Erroneously represented as double in fig. 17 on the accompanying plate.

[^7]:    * I have not scen specimens of the olive colour represented in the "Conchologia Indica,' pl. cvi, fig. 10.
    † J. A. S. B. 1876, xlv. pt. 2, p. 186, pl. xiv. fig. 5. The name should, in any case, bo Latinized as travancoricus. There is no such place as Travaukor, the common English namo Travancoro being a corruption of the real name.

